proof in different examples. which it cannot be employed; and of this we have seen a the equation to be prepared in a certain manner, without to all kinds of equations: whereas the other often requires that which we explained first; for it applies successfully to

QUESTIONS FOR PRACTICE.

1. Given $x^3 + 2x^2 - 28x - 70 = 0$, to find x. Ans. x = 5.13450.

2. Given $x^3 - 15x^2 + 63x - 50 = 0$, to find x. Ans. x = 1.028039

Given $x^4 - 3x^2 - 75x = 10000$, to find x.

Given $x^5 + 2x^4 + 3x^3 + 4x^3 + 5x = 54321$, to find Ans. x = 10.2615

5. Let $120x^3 + 3657x^2 - 38059x = 8007115$, to find Ans. x = 54.6532Ans. x = 8.4144

END OF PART I.

ELEMENTS

PART II.

Containing the Analysis of Indeterminate Quantities.

CHAP. I.

Of the Resolution of Equations of the First Degree, which contain more than one unknown Quantity.

ARTICLE I.

equations, three unknown quantities by three equations, and may determine two unknown quantities by means of two quantity is determined by a single equation, and how we so on; so that there must always be as many equations as T has been shewn, in the First Part, how one unknown there are unknown quantities to determine, at least when the

question itself is determinate. equations as there are unknown quantities to be determined, indeterminate; forming the subject of a particular branch of algebra, which is called Indeterminate Analysis. some of these must remain undetermined, and depend on our will; for which reason, such questions are said to be When a question, therefore, does not furnish as many

solutions: but, on the other hand, as there is usually anor more unknown quantities, they also admit of several teger and positive, or at least rational, the number of all the possible solutions of those questions is greatly limited: so that often them the control of nexed the condition, that the numbers sought are to be inthat often there are very few of them possible; at other 2. As in those cases we may assume any numbers for one,

Contraction of the

1 = 2× + 1, so that Let us, therefore, make $y-1=2\pi$; and we shall

OF ALGEBRA.

301

than 8; consequently, z must be less than 4, that is to say, stitute any numbers for z which would render 2z + 1 greater cannot be taken greater than 3, for which reasons we have ind since y cannot be greater than 8, we must not sub-11 - 3z - 1 - 3z - 1 - 3z

If we make z = 0 $\begin{vmatrix} z = 1 \\ y = 8 \end{vmatrix}$ $\begin{vmatrix} x = 2 \\ y = 5 \end{vmatrix}$ $\begin{vmatrix} x = 3 \\ y = 7 \end{vmatrix}$, and $\begin{vmatrix} x = 1 \\ x = 1 \end{vmatrix}$ $\begin{vmatrix} x = 8 \\ x = 5 \end{vmatrix}$ $\begin{vmatrix} x = 2 \\ x = 2 \end{vmatrix}$

5. Adestion a To divide 100 into two such parts, that the one may be divisible by 7, and the other by 11. Hence, the two parts of 25 sought, are Let The be the first part, and 11y the second. must have 7x + 11y = 100; and, consequently, 22 +(3, 16 + 9, 10 + 15, or 4 + 21

 $\frac{100 - 11y}{2} = \frac{98 + 2 - 7y - 4y}{2}$

 $x = 14 - y + - \eta$

Now, if we can divide 4y - 2 by 7, we may also divide its half, 2y - 1, by 7. Let us therefore make 2y - 1 = 7z, wherefore 2 - 44, or 44 - 2, must be divisible by 7. or 2y = 7z + 1, and we shall have x = 14 - y - 2z; $y = 3z + \frac{z+1}{2}$. Let us therefore make z + 1 = 2u, or but, since 2y = 7z + 1 = 6z + z + 1, we shall have

z = 2u - 1; which supposition gives y = 3z + u; and, consequently, we may substitute for u every integer number that does not make x or y negative. Now, as y becomes y has y becomes y and y and y in y has y has y becomes y and y in y and y in y has y has y becomes shews that 7u must exceed 3; and according to the second, not be 2; and since it is impossible for this number to be 0, 11u must be less than 19, or u less than $\frac{19}{11}$: so that u canand the two parts of 100 which were required, are 56, we must have u = 1: which is the only value that this letter can have. Hence, we obtain x = 8, and $y = \frac{4}{3}$;

dividing the first by 5, there may remain 2; and dividing and 44. the second by 7, the remainder may be 4. To divide 100 into two such parts, that

readily obtained; and sometimes, also, none of them are possible. Hence it happens, that this part of analysis fretimes, there may be an infinite number, but such as are not quently requires artifices entirely appropriate to it, which are great service in exercising the judgment of beginners, and

giving them dexterity in calculation.
3. To begin with one of the easiest questions. required to find two positive, integer numbers, the sum of Let it be

which shall be equal to 10.

x + y = 10; and x = 10 - y, where y is so far only dethan 10, for otherwise x would become negative; and if we also reject the value of x = 0, we cannot make y greater termined, that this letter must represent an integer and positive numbers from 1 to infinity: but since x must likewise be a positive number, it follows, that y cannot be taken greater than 9; so that only the following solutions can take Let us represent those members by x and y; then we have We may therefore substitute for it all integer

If y = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, then x = 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1.

only of five different solutions. the first four, it is evident, that the question really admits But, the last four of these nine solutions being the same as

make 10, we should have only to divide one of the numbers obtain a greater number of solutions. already found into two parts, by which means we should If three numbers were required, the sum of which might

will proceed to others, which require different considera-4. As we have found no difficulty in this question, we

Let one of the parts sought be 2x, and the other 3y; we shall then have 2x + 3y = 25; consequently 2x = 25 - 3y; and dividing by 2, we obtain the one of which may be divisible by 2, and the other by 3. Question 1. Let it be required to divide 25 into two parts,

13 11 $\frac{25-8y}{s}$; whence we conclude, in the first place, that કંછ

as we possibly can, that is to say, if we divide by the de-Also, if, from this value of x, we take out as many integers g_y must be less than 25, and, consequently, y is less than 8.

nominator 2, we shall have $x = 12 - y + \frac{1-y}{2}$; whence

it follows, that 1-y, or rather y-1, must be divisible by

303

OF ALGEBRA.

Since the first part, divided by 5, leaves the remainder 2, let us suppose it to be 5x + 2; and, for a similar reason, we may represent the second part by 7y + 4: we shall thus

we may represent the form
$$f^{(x)} = 0$$
 have $5x + 7y + 6 = 100$, or $5x = 94 - 7y = 90 + 4 - 5y - 2y$;

whence we obtain $x = 18 - y + \frac{4 - 2y}{\kappa}$. Hence it follows,

by 5. For this reason, let us make y - 2 = 5z, or y = 5z + 2, and we shall have x = 16 - 7z; whence we that 4-2y, or 2y-4, or the half y-2, must be divisible by 5. For this reason, let us make y-2=5z, or conclude, that 7z must be less than 16, and z less than $\frac{16}{7}$. therefore, admits of three answers: that is to say, z cannot exceed 2. The question proposed,

1. z = 0 gives x = 16, and y = 2; whence the two

3. z=9 gives x=9, and y=19; and the two parts are 19+88. are 47 + 53. parts are 82 + 18. 2. z = 1 gives x = 9, and y = 7; and the two parts

says to the other; 'When I count my eggs by eights, there is an overplus of 7.' The second replies: 'If I count mine by tens, I find the same overplus of 7.' How many eggs had each? 7. Question 4. Two women have together 100 eggs: one

eggs belonging to the second, divided by 10, gives the same remainder 7; we may express the first number by 8x + 7, and the second by 10y + 7; so that 8x + 10y + 14 = 100, or 8x = 86 - 10y, or 4x = 43 - 5y = 40 + 3 - 4y - y. Consequently, if we make y - 3 = 4x, so that y = 4x + 3, As the number of eggs belonging to the first woman, divided by 8, leaves the remainder 7; and the number of

x = 10 - 4z - 3 - z = 7 - 5z;

whence it follows, that 5z must be less than 7, or z less than 2; that is to say, we shall only have the two following

answers: had 63 eggs, and the second 37. 1. z = 0 gives x = 7, and y = 8; so that the first woman

2. z=1 gives x=2, and y=7; therefore the first woman had 23 eggs, and the second had 77.

1000 sous at a tavern. The men paid each 19 sous, and each 8. Question 5. How many men and women were there? A company of men and women spent

the number of men be x, and that of the women y, shall then have the equation

113y = 1000 - 19x = 988 + 19 - 19x - 6x, and 19x + 13y = 1000, or 12 - 6x

y = 76 - x + -

whence it follows, that 12 - 6x, or 6x - 12, or x - 2, the whence it follows, that 12 - 6x, or 6x - 12, or x - 2, the whence it follows, that 12 - 6x, or 6x - 12, or x - 2, the whole it follows, that 12 - 6x, or 6x - 12, or x - 2, the whole it follows, that 12 - 6x, or 6x - 12, or x - 2, the whole it follows, that 12 - 6x, or 6x - 12, or x - 2, the whole it follows, that 12 - 6x, or 6x - 12, or x - 2, the whole it follows, that 12 - 6x, or 6x - 12, or x - 2, the whole it follows, that 12 - 6x, or 6x - 12, or x - 2, the whole it follows, that 12 - 6x, or 6x - 12, or x - 2, the whole it follows, that 12 - 6x, or 6x - 12, or x - 2, the whole it follows, x - 2, the whole it follows, x - 2, x - 2, the whole it follows, x - 2, x - 2

sixth part of that number must be divisible by 13. 1. z=0 gives x=2, and y=74: in which case there were 2 men and 44 women; the former paid 38 sous, and the latter 069 cons which shows that a must be less than 74, and, consequently, therefore, we make w-2=13z, we shall have x=13z+2, less than 4; so that the four following answers are possible: y = 76 - 18z - 2 - 6z, or y = 74 - 19z;

women y=55; so that the former spent 285 sous, and the

the women y=36; therefore the former spent 532 sous, latter 715 sous $g_{1,2}=2$ gives the number of men x=28, and that of

4. x=8 gives x=41, and y=17; so that the men spent 779 sous, and the women 221 sous.

9. Question 6. A farmer lays out the sum of 1770 crowns in purchasing houses and oxen; he pays 31 crowns for each ox. How many for each horse, and 21 crowns for each ox.

Let the number of horses be x, and that of oxen y; we shall then have 31x + 21y = 1770, or 21y = 1770horses and oxen did he buy? -31x = 1764 + 6 - 21x - 10x; that is to say,

 $y = 84 - x + \frac{6 - 10x}{21}$. Therefore 10x - 6, and like-

now suppose 5x - 3 = 21z, we shall have 5x = 21z + 3, wise its half 5x - 3, must be divisible by 21. and hence y = 84 - x - 2z. But, since

 $\frac{21z+3}{5} = 4z + \frac{z+3}{5}$, we must also make z+3=5u;

which supposition gives

z = 5u - 3, x = 21u - 12, and

hence it follows, that u must be greater than 0, and yet less than 4, which furnishes the following answers: y = 84 - 21u + 12 - 10u + 6 = 102 - 21u;

oxen y = 71; wherefore the former cost 279 crowns, and the 1, u = 1 gives the number of horses x = 9, and that of

cost 980 crowns, and the oxen 840 crowns, which together latter 1491; in all 1770 crowns. 2. u = 2 gives x = 20, and y = 40; so that the horses

make 1770 crowns. β . $u=\beta$ gives the number of the horses x=51, and that

of the oxen y=9; the former cost 1581 crowns, and the latter 189 crowns; which together make 1770 crowns.

in which the values of x and y must likewise be integer and positive. Now, if b is negative, and the equation has the form ax - by = c, we have questions of quite a different kind, admitting of an infinite number of answers, which we shall treat of before we conclude the present a, b, and c, represent integer and positive numbers, and lead all to an equation of the form ax + by = c, in which 10. The questions which we have hitherto considered

y will always be greater by 6. Let us, for example, make x = 100, we have y = 106; it is evident, therefore, that an greater y, we must have y - x = 6, and y = 6 + x. Now, lowing. Required two manners, since the less number x, and the 6. If, in this case, we make the less number x, and the 6. Now, chapter. integer numbers possible, and whatever number we assume, nothing prevents us from substituting, instead of x, all the The simplest questions of this sort are such as the fol-Required two numbers, whose difference may be

in which ax must simply be equal to by. Let there be required, for example, a number divisible both by 5 and by 7. since n must be divisible by 5; farther, we shall have infinite number of answers are possible. N = Ty, because the number must also be divisible by T; we If we write N for that number, we shall first have N = 5x, 11. Next follow questions, in which c = 0, that is to say,

shall therefore have 5x = 7y, and $x = \frac{7y}{5}$. Now, since 7

cannot be divided by 5, y must be divisible by 5: let us number sought N=35z; and as we may take for z, any therefore make y=5z, and we have x=7z; so that the for N an infinite number of values; such as integer number whatever, it is evident that we can assign

35, 70, 105, 140, 175, 210, &c

number N be divisible by 9, we should first have N=35z, as before, and should farther make N=9u. In this man-If, beside the above condition, it were also required that the

OF ALGEBRA.

 $\frac{85\pi}{9} = 9u$, and $u = \frac{85\pi}{9}$; where it is evident that π

Let and which requires us to seek a number N such, that it may be divisible by 5, and if divided by 7, may leave the it may be divisible by 5, and if divided by 7, may leave the it may be divisible by 5, and if divided by 7, may leave the jemanuter 3: for we must then have N = 5x, and also N = 7y + 3; whence results the equation 5x = 7y + 3; Then have u = 35s, and the number sought v = 315s. the We find more difficulty, when c is not = 0. For example, when 5x = 7y + 3, the equation to which we are the divisible by 9; therefore let z = 9s; and we shall

and, consequently, $x = \frac{7y + 8}{1} = \frac{5y + 2y + 3}{5} = y + \frac{1}{3}$

. • -, we have x=y+z;

If we make 2y + 3 = 5z, or $z = \frac{2y + 3}{5}$, now, because 2y + 3 = 5z, or 2y = 5z - 3, we have

 $y = \frac{5z-8}{2}$, or $y = 2z + \frac{z-3}{2}$.

z = 2u + 3, and y = 5u + 6, and If, therefore, we farther suppose z - 3 = 9u, we have

numbers, but also negative numbers; for, as it is sufficient tion we may substitute for u not only all positive integer Hence, the number sought n=35u+45, in which equa-N = 10; the other values are obtained by continually addthat n be positive, we may make u = -1, which gives ing 35; that is to say, the numbers sought are 10, 45, 80, x = y + z = (5u + 6) + (2u + 3) = 7u + 9.

relation of the two numbers by which we are to divide; that is, they become more or less tedious, according to the nature of those divisors. The following question, for example, 13. The solution of questions of this sort depends on the

mainder 2; and divided by 18, leaves the remainder 3. admits of a very short solution: Required a number which, divided by 6, leaves the re-

y = 13y + 3; consequently, 6x + 2 = 13y + 3, and Let this number be N; first N = 6x + 2, and then

6x = 13y + 1; hence, $x = \frac{18y + 1}{x}$ $=2y+\frac{y+1}{x}.$

and if we make y + 1 = 6z, we obtain y = 6z - 1, and x = 2y + z = 18z - 2; whence we have for the number

sought y = 78z - 10; therefore, the question admits of the following values of y; viz. y = 68, 146, 224, 302, 380, &c.

which numbers form an arithmetical progression, whose difference is $78 = 6 \times 18$. So that if we know one of the values, we may easily find all the rest; for we have only to add 78 continually, or to subtract that number, as long as it is possible, when we seek for smaller numbers: 14. The following question furnishes an example of a

longer and more tedious solution.

Question 8. To find a number N, which, when divided by 39, leaves the remainder 16; and such also, that if it be divided by 56, the remainder may be 27.

In the first place, we have N = 39p + 16; and in the second, N = 56q + 27; so that

$$39p + 16 = 56q + 27, \text{ or } 39p = 56q + 11, \text{ and}$$

$$p = \frac{56q + 11}{39} = q + \frac{17q + 11}{39} = q + r, \text{ by making}$$

$$r = \frac{17q + 11}{39}. \text{ So that } 39r = 17q + 11, \text{ and}$$

$$q = \frac{59r - 11}{17} = 2r + \frac{5r - 11}{17} = 2r + s, \text{ by making}$$

$$s = \frac{5r - 11}{17}, \text{ or } 17s = 5r - 11; \text{ whence we get}$$

$$r = \frac{17s + 11}{5} = 3s + \frac{2s + 11}{5} = 3s + t, \text{ by making}$$

$$t = \frac{2s + 11}{5}, \text{ or } 5t = 2s + 11; \text{ whence we find}$$

$$s = \frac{5t - 11}{2} = 2t + \frac{t - 11}{2} = 2t + u, \text{ by making}$$

$$u = \frac{t - 11}{2}; \text{ whence } t = 2u + 11.$$

Having now no longer any fractions, we may take u at pleasure, and then we have only to trace back the following values:

Supposition, we have N = 1147: and if we make u = x - 4, supposition, we have N = 1147: and if we make u = x - 4, supposition, we have N = 1147: and if we make N = 1147:

we had $_{N} = 9184x - 8736 + 9883$; or $_{N} = 2184x + 1147$; which in the following being some of its leading terms: the following being some of its leading terms:

1147, 3331, 5515, 7699, 9883, &c.

15 We shall subjoin some other questions by way of practice.

Practice.

A goinpany of men and women club to-practice.

A goinpany of mer and women says 25

Question 9. Alcompany of men and women club to-Let the number of women be p, and that of men q; then the women will have expended 16p, and the men 25q; so gether for the payingst of a reckoning; each man pays 25 livres, and each woman 16 livres; and it is found that all How many men and women were there? the women together have paid I livre more than the menthat 16p = 25q + 1, and $p = \frac{95q+1}{16} = q + \frac{9q+1}{16} = q + r$, or 16r = 9q + 1, We shall therefore obtain, by tracing back our substitutions, * || 98 + 167 = 38+ $= s + \frac{2s+1}{r} = s+t$, or 7t = 2s+1, !! " + 1 -=r+s, or 9s=7r-1, = 3s + u, or 2u = t - 1.

t = 2u + 1, s = 3t + u = 7u + 3, s = 8 + t = 9u + 4, q = r + s = 16u + 7, p = q + r = 25u + 11.

So that the number of women was 25u + 11, and that of men was 16u + 7; and in these formulæ we may substitute

* As the numbers 176 and 253 ought, respectively, to be * As the numbers 176 and as the former ought, by the divisible by 39 and 56; and as the former ought, by the divisible by 39 and 56; and adding the requestion, to leave the remainder 16, and the latter 27, the sun 9883 is formed by multiplying 176 by 56, and adding the remainder: or by multiplying 253 by 39, and mainder 27 to the product: or by multiplying 253 by 39, and adding the remainder 16 to the product. Thus, adding the remainder 16 to the product. \times 29 and \times 29 and 29 and

309

OF ALGUBLA.

for u any integer numbers whatever. The least results, therefore, will be as follow:

Number of women, 11, 36, 61, 86, 111, &c. 7, 23, 29, 55, 71, &c.

According to the first answer, or that which contains the least numbers, the women expended 176 livres, and the man least numbers, that is, one livre less than the women.

175 livres; that is, one livre less than the women.

16. Question 10. A person buys some horses and oxen: he pays 31 crowns per horse, and 20 crowns for each ox; he finds that the oxen cost him 7 crowns more than the horses. How many oxen and horses did he buy?

If we suppose p to be the number of the oxen, and q the number of the horses, we shall have the following equation:

$$p = \frac{31q + 7}{20} = q + \frac{11q + 7}{20} = q + r, \text{ or } 20r = 11q + 7,$$

$$q = \frac{20r - 7}{11} = r + \frac{9r - 7}{11} = r + s, \text{ or } 11s = 9r - 7,$$

$$r = \frac{11s + 7}{9} = s + \frac{2s + 7}{9} = s + t, \text{ or } 9t = 2s + 7,$$

$$s = \frac{9t - 7}{2} = 4t + \frac{t - 7}{2} = 4t + u, \text{ or } 2u = t + 7,$$

whence t cdots cdot

$$r = s + t = 11u + 35,$$

 $q = r + s = 20u + 63,$ number of horses,
 $p = q + r = 31u + 98,$ number of oxen.

Whence, the least positive values of p and q are found by making u = -3; those which are greater succeed in the following arithmetical progressions:

following arithmetical progressions: Number of oxen, p = 5, 36, 67, 98, 129, 160, 191, 222,

253, &c. Number of horses, q = 3, 23, 43, 68, 83, 103, 123, 143,

163, &c.
17. If now we consider how the letters p and q, in this 17. If now we consider how the succeeding letters, we shall example, are determined by the succeeding letters, we shall example, are determination depends on the ratio of the numbers 31 and 20, and particularly on the ratio which we discover by seeking the greatest common divisor of these two numbers. In fact, if we perform this operation,

 $\begin{array}{c} 20) \begin{array}{c} 31 \ (1) \\ \hline 11) \begin{array}{c} 20 \ \end{array} \\ \hline 20) \begin{array}{c} 11 \ \end{array} \\ \hline 9) \begin{array}{c} 11 \ \end{array} \\ \hline 9) \begin{array}{c} 11 \ \end{array} \\ \hline 2) \begin{array}{c} 9 \ \end{array} \\ \hline 1) \begin{array}{c} 2 \ \end{array} \\ \hline 2) \begin{array}{c} 9 \ \end{array} \\ \hline 0, \end{array}$

it is evident that the quotients are found also in the successive values of the letters p, q, r, s, &c. and that they are connected with the first letter to the right, while the last connected with the first letter to the right, while the last connected with alone. We see, further, that the number r cours only in the fifth and last equation, and is affected by occurs only in the fifth and last equation is odd; the sign +, because the number of this equation is odd; the sign +, because the number of this equation is odd; or if that number had been even, we should have obtained for if that number had been even, we should have obtained for if that number had been even, by the following -r. This will be made more evident by the following rable, in which we may observe the decomposition of the rables r and r and r and then the determination of the values of the letters r, r, &c.

18. In the same manner, we may represent the example

in Art. 14.
$$56 = 1 \times 39 + 17 \mid p = 1 \times q + r$$

$$56 = 2 \times 17 + 5 \mid q = 2 \times r + s$$

$$59 = 2 \times 17 + 5 \mid q = 2 \times r + s$$

$$57 = 3 \times 5 + 2 \mid r = 3 \times s + t$$

$$5 = 2 \times 2 + 1 \mid s = 2 \times t + u$$

$$9 = 2 \times 1 + 0 \mid t = 2 \times u + 11.$$

19. And, in the same manner, we may analyse all questions of this kind. For, let there be given the equation bp = aq + n, in which a, b, and n, are known numbers; then, we have only to proceed as we should do to find the greatest common divisor of the numbers a and b, and we

may immediately determine p and q by the succeeding letters, as follows:

Let
$$\begin{cases} a = Ab + c \\ b = Bc + d \\ c = Cd + e \text{ ard we shall} \end{cases} \begin{cases} p = Aq + r \\ q = Br + s \\ r = Cs + t \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} c = Cd + e \text{ ard we shall} \end{cases} \begin{cases} r = Cs + t \\ r = Bt + u \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} c = Ef + g \\ t = Bu + v \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} c = Ef + g \\ u = Fv \pm n \end{cases}$$

equations is odd; and that, on the contrary, we must take the sign + must be prefixed to n, when the number of 20. Question 11. Required a number, which, being divided by 11, leaves the remainder 3; but being divided by We have only to observe farther, that in the last equation readily answered, of which we shall give some examples. tions which form the subject of the present chapter may be - n, when the number is even: by these means, the ques- $\int f = T_{\mathbf{G}'} + \overline{o}$

Call this number N; then, in the first place, we have N = 11p + 3, and in the second, N = 19q + 5; therefore, we have the equation 11p = 19q + 2, which furnishes the tollowing Table: 19, leaves the remainder 5.

the preceding letters successively. where we may assign any value to u, and determine by it We find,

$$t \dots = 2u + 2$$

$$s = t + u = 3u + 2$$

$$r = 2s + t = 8u + 6$$

$$q = r + s = 11u + 8$$

$$p = q + r = 19u + 14$$

fore 157 is the least number that can express N, or satisfy whence we obtain the number sought N=209u+157; there-

the terms of the question.
21. Question 12. To find a number N such, that if we divide it by 11, there remains 3, and if we divide it by 19, there remains 5; and farther, if we divide it by 29,

there remains 10. we have already performed the calculation (in the last question) for the two others, we must, in consequence of that The last condition requires that N=29p+10; and as

have N = 209u + 157, instead of which we shall white N = 209q + 157; so that

OF ALGEERA.

29p + 10 = 2039 + 157, or 29p = 2099 + 147;

thence we have the following Table; $209 = 7 \times 29 + 6$; $29 = 4 \times 6 + 5$; wherefore $\begin{cases} q = 4r + s, \\ r = s + t, \\ s = 5t - 147. \end{cases}$ [p] 79+3; 4r+3;

And, if we now retrace these steps, we have

p = 79 + 7 = 209t - 5292*. 9-11-8-+ 6£ | 735,

22. It is necessary, however, to observe, in order that an equation of the form bp = aq + n may be resolvible, that for, otherwise, the question would be impossible, unless the the two numbers a and b must have no common divisor; found by making t = 26, which supposition gives N = 4128. So that n = 6061t - 153458: and the least number is number n had the same common divisor. If it were required, for example, to have 9p = 15q + 2; since 9 and 15 have a common divisor 3, and which is not a divisor of 2, it is impossible to resolve the question, because 9p-15q being always divisible by 3, can never become 9p-15q being always divisible by 3, or n=6, &c. the 22. But if in this example n=8, or n=6, &c. equation easily resolvible by the rule already given. It is evident, therefore, that the numbers a, b, ought to have no to divide by 3; since we should obtain 3p = 5q + 1, an question would be posssible: for it would be sufficient first common divisor, and that our rule cannot apply in any other

sider the equation 9p = 15q + 2 according to the usual method. Here we find 23. To prove this still more satisfactorily, we shall con-

 $p = \frac{15q + 2}{9} = q + \frac{1}{9}$ 9r = 6q + 2, or 6q = 9r - 2; or 9r-9=7 $\frac{2}{2} = r + \frac{3r - 2}{6} = r + s$; so that 3r - 2 = 6s, 6q + 2-=q+r; so that

. That is, $-5292 \times 29 = -153468$; to which if the remainder +10 required by the question be added, the sum is -153458.

311

or 3r = 6s + 2: consequently, r = --- 28 + 3.

Now, it is evident, that this can never become an integer number, because g is necessarily an integer; which shews the impossibility of such questions *.

CHAP. II.

Of the Rule which is called Regula Coci, for determining by means of two Equations, three or more Unknown

24. In the preceding chapter, he have seen how, by means of a single equation, two unknown quantities may be detercontain more than two unknown quantities. Questions of mined, so far as to express them in integer and positive numbers. If, therefore, we had two equations, in order that resolved by the rule called Regula Cari, Position, or The this kind occur in the common books of arithmetic; and are the question may be indeterminate, those equations must plain, beginning with the following example: Rule of Rulse; the foundation of which we shall now ex-

crowns, that of a woman 2 crowns, and that of a child is 1 ren, spend 50 crowns in a tavern; the share of a man is 3 25. Question 1. Thirty persons, men, women, and child-

crown; how many persons were there of each class?

If the number of men be p, of women q, and of children r., we shall have the two following equations;

1. p + q + r = 30, and 2. 3p + 2q + r = 50,

diately conclude that p+q must be less than 30; and, substituting this value of r in the second equation, we have 2p+q+50=50; so that q=20-2p, and p+q=2p+q+50=50; letters p, q, and r, in integer and positive numbers. The first equation gives r=30-p-q; whence we immefrom which it is required to find the value of the three

benumber of men p, of women q, and of children r, being mercaged 10, we shall have the following eleven answers: To which evidently is also less than 30. Now, as we wanthis equation, assume all numbers for p which do when this equation, assume all numbers for p which do

OF ALGEBRA.

 $y_{p} = 0$ | follows: q=20, **ந்**தத் 17, 18, , 19, 30; 10, 30;

sifteep, to the number of 100, for 100 crowns; the hogs cost him 3½ crowns apiece; the goats, 1½ crown; and the sheep, 26. Question 21 A certain person buys hogs, goats, and $\bar{j}=10, 11, 12,$, if we omit the first and the last, there will remain 9. ئىسا كىن

the sleep r, then we shall have the two following equations: Let the number of hogs be P, that of the goats q, and of

q + r = 100,

the latter of which being multiplied by 6, in order to remove the fractions, becomes, 21p + 8q + 3r = 600. Now, the first gives r = 100 - p - q; and if we substitute this value of r in the second, we have 18p + 5q = 300, or

must be divisible by 5, and therefore, as 18 is not divisible by 5, p must contain 5 as a factor. If we therefore make 5q = 800 - 18p, and $q = 60 - \frac{18p}{5}$: consequently, 18pp = 5s, we obtain q = 60 - 18s, and r = 18s + 40; in which we may assume for the value of s any integer number if we also exclude 0, there can only be three answers to the gative: but this condition limits the value of s to 3; so that whatever, provided it be such, that q does not become nequestion; which are as follow:

s || ŝa

We have $\begin{cases} q = 42, 24, \\ r = 53, 66, \end{cases}$

27. In forming such examples for practice, we must take particular care that they may be possible; in order to which,

we must observe the following particulars: Let us represent the two equations, to which we were just

now brought, by

1. x + y + z = a, and 2. fx + gy + hz = b,

in which f, g, and h, as well as a and b, are given numbers.

by De la Grange. * See the Appendix to this chapter, at Art. 3. of the Additions

Now, if we suppose that among the numbers f, g, and h, the first, f, is the greatest, and h the least, since we have the first, f, is the greatest, and h the least, since we have fx + fy + fz, or (x + y + z)f = fa, (because x + y + z = a) it is evident, that fx + fy + fz is greater than fx + gy + hz; consequently, fa must be greater than b, or b must be less than fa. Farther, since hx + hy + hz, or (x + y + z)h = ha, and hx + hy + hz is undoubtedly less than fx + gy + hz, ha must be less than b, or b must be greater than ha. Hence it follows, that if b be not less than fa, and also greater than ha, the question will be impossible: which condition is also expressed, by saying that b must be contained between the limits fa and ha; and care must also be taken that it may not approach either limit too nearly, as that would render it impossible to determine the other letters.

In the preceding example, in which $a=100, f=3\frac{1}{2}$, and $h=\frac{1}{2}$, the limits were 350 and 50. Now, if we suppose b=51, instead of 100, the equations will become

x+y+z=100, and $3\frac{1}{2}x+1\frac{1}{3}y+\frac{1}{2}z=51$;

or, removing the fractions, 21x + 8y + 3z = 306; and if the first be multiplied by 3, we have 3x + 3y + 3z = 300. Now, subtracting this equation from the other, there remains 18x + 5y = 6; which is evidently impossible, because x and y must be integer and positive numbers *.

28. Goldsmiths and coiners make great use of this rule, when they propose to make, from three or more kinds of metal, a mixture of a given value, as the following example will shew.

Question 3. A coiner has three kinds of silver, the first of 7 ounces, the second of $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ ounces, the third of $4\frac{1}{4}$ ounces, fine per marc $\frac{1}{1}$; and he wishes to form a mixture of the weight of 30 narcs, at 6 ounces: how many marcs of each sort must he take?

If he take x marcs of the first kind, y marcs of the second, and z marcs of the third, he will have x + y + z = 30,

which is the first equation. Then, since a marc of the first sort contains T ounces of fine silver, the x marcs of this sort will contain Tx ounces of such silver. Also, the y marcs of the second sort will contain $\mathcal{B}_{x}^{t}y$ ounces, and the z marcs of the third sort will contain $\mathcal{B}_{x}^{t}z$ ounces, of the silver; so that the whole mass will contain $Tx + \mathcal{B}_{x}^{t}y + \mathcal{A}_{x}^{t}z$ ounces of fine silver. As this mixture is to weigh 30 marcs, and each of these marcs must contain 6 ounces of fine silver, it follows that the whole mass

* Vide Article 22.
† A marc is eight ounces.

CHAIL, II.

OF ALGEBRA?

OF ALGEBRA?

OF ALGEBRA?

OF ALGEBRA?

OF ALGEBRA?

OF ALGEBRA?

OF ALABAR.

OF ALGEBRA?

OF ALGEBRA?

OF ALGEBRA?

OF ALGEBRA?

Will contain 180 ounces of fine silver; and thence results the silver of a subtract from this equation mine subtract from this equation mine subtract from this equation 5x + 9y + 9x = 970; there remains 5x + 9y = 90, an equation which must give the values of x and y in integer numbers; and with regard to the value of z, we may derive it from the first equation z = 30 - x - y.

Now, the former equation gives 2y = 90 - 5x, and $y = 45 - \frac{5x}{2}$; therefore, if x = 2u, we shall have $y = 45 - \frac{5u}{2}$, and z = 3u - 15; which shews that u must be greater than 4, and yet less than 10. Consequently, the questron admits of the following solutions: $x = \frac{3u}{u} - \frac{15}{u} = \frac{5}{u} = \frac{5}$

If u = 5, 0, 4, 76, 18, x = 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 0, y = 20, 15, 10, 5, 0, 12.

Then x = 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 0, 12.

gg. Questions sometimes occur, containing more than three unknown quantities; but they are also resolved in the same unknown quantities; but they are also resolved in the same manner, as the following example will shew.

Question 4. A person buys 100 head of cattle for 100 manner, as the following example will shew.

Question 4. A person buys 100 head of cattle for 100 manner, oxen at 10 pounds each, cows at 5 pounds, calves and sheep at 10 shillings cach. How manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep, did he buy?

Let the number of oxen be p, that of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep, that of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep, that of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep, that of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep, that of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep, that of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep, that of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep, that of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep, that of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep, that of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep, that of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep, that of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep at 100 head of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep at 100 head of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep at 100 head of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep at 100 head of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep at 100 head of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep at 100 head of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep at 100 head of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep at 100 head of the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep at 100 head of the cows q, of calves, and the cows q, of calves manny oxen, cows, calves, and sheep at 100 head of

or, removing the fractions, 20p + 10q + 4r + s = 200: then subtracting the first equation from this, there remains 19p + 9q + 3r = 100 - 19p - 9q, and 3r = 100 - 19p - 9q, and $r = 33 + \frac{1}{3} - 6p - \frac{1}{3}p - q$; or $r = 33 - 6p - 3q + \frac{1}{3}$; whence 1 - p, or p - 1, must be divisible by 3; therefore whence 1 - p, or p - 1, must be divisible by 3;

if we make p-1=3t, we have

 $\begin{array}{c} p = 3t + 1 \\ q = q \\ r = 27 - 19t - 3q \\ s = 72 + 2q + 16t \end{array}$

TIL STATE

value to q and t. We have therefore to consider the followthat, provided this condition be observed, we may give any whence it follows, that 19t + 3q must be less than 27, and ing cases:

1. If
$$t = 0$$
 2. If $t = 1$
we have $p = 1$ $p = 4$
 $q = q$ $q = q$
 $r = 27 - 3q$ $r = 8 - 3q$
 $s = 72 + 2q$. $s = 88 + 2q$.

negauve. We cannot make t=2, because r would then become

Now, in the first case, q cannot exceed 9; and, in the second, it cannot exceed 2; so that these two cases give the following solutions, the first giving the following ten

And the second furnishes the three following answers:

duced to ten if we exclude those that contain zero, or 0. There are, therefore, in all, thirteen answers, which are re-

will be seen from the following example. in the first equation were multiplied by given numbers, as 30. The method would still be the same, even if the letters

by 7, the sum of the products may be 560; and if we multiply the first by 9, the second by 25, and the third by 49, the sum of the products may be 2920. the first be multiplied by 3, the second by 5, and the third Question 5. To find three such integer numbers, that if

shall have the two equations, If the first number be x, the second y, and the third z, we

1.
$$3x + 5y + 7z = 560$$
.
2. $9x + 25y + 49z = 2920$.

21z = 1680, = 1240; dividing by 2, we have 5y + 14z = 620; whence And here, if we subtract three times the first, or 9x + 15y +from the second, there remains 10y + 28z

> we obtain $y = 124 - \frac{14x}{5}$: so that z must be divisible by If therefore we make z=5u, we shall have y=124-14u; which values of y and z being substituted in the "" 3t, from which we obtain the following answer, must substitute for t an integer number greater than 0 and less than 3: so that we are limited to the two following x = 35t - 20, y = 124 - 42t, and z = 15t, in which we 85u - 60, and $x = \frac{35u}{8} - 20$; therefore we shall make first equation, we have 3x - 35u + 620 = 560; or 3x =answers:

we have $\begin{cases} x = 15, y = 82, z = 15. \\ x = 50, y = 40, z = 30. \end{cases}$

Spread and the same of the sam

Of Compound Indeterminate Equations, in which one of the Unknown Quantities does not exceed the First Degree.

31. We shall now proceed to indeterminate equations, in which it is required to find two unknown quantities, one of them being multiplied by the other, or raised to a power higher than the first, whilst the other is found only in the As in this equation y does not exceed the first degree, that letter is easily determined; but here, as before, the values be represented by the following general expression: first degree. It is evident that equations of this kind may $a + bx + cy + dx^{2} + exy + fx^{3} + gx^{2}y + hx^{4} + hx^{3}y + hx^{2} = 0.$ both of x and of y must be assigned in integer numbers. We shall consider some of those cases, beginning with the

 $y = \frac{79 - x}{x + 1} = \frac{1}{x}$ eastest. Call the numbers sought x and y: then we must have xy + x + y = 79; so that xy + y = 79 - x, and product added to their sum may be 79. we see that x + 1 must be a divisor of 80. Now, 80 having 32. Question 1. To find two such numbers, that their $\frac{1}{x+1} + \frac{1}{x+1} = -1 + \frac{1}{x+1}$, from which

OF ALGEBRA.

several divisors, we shall also have several values of a, as the

following Table will shew: The divisors of 80 are 1 2 4 לא 8 10 16 20 40 80

therefore x = 0 1 3 4 and y = 79 39 19 15 ~ર છ 15 4 19 39 79 ಅ

those in the first, inverted, we have, in reality, only the five But as the answers in the bottom line are the same as

$$x = 0, 1, 3, 4, 7, and$$

 $y = 79, 39, 19, 15, 9.$

c - ax, and $y = \frac{c - ax}{x + b}$, or $y = \frac{ab + c}{x + b}$. so that each divisor of this number gives a value of x. If x+b must be a divisor of the known number ab+c: equation xy + ax + by = c; for we shall have xy + by =we therefore make $ab + c = f_S$, we have 33. In the same manner, we may also resolve the general $\frac{1}{x+b}-a$; that is to say,

 $y = \frac{f_S^c}{x+b} - a$; and supposing x + b = f, or x = f - b, it one is x = f - b, and y = g - a, and the other is obtained by making x + b = g, in which case x = g - b, also two answers for every method of representing the number ab + c by a product, such as fg. Of these two answers, is evident, that y = g - a; and, consequently, that we have

and y = f - a. If, therefore, the equation xy + 2x + 3y = 42 were proposed, we should have a = 2, b = 3, and c = 42; con-

each of those cases we shall always have either x = f - 3, and y = g - 2; or else x = g - 3, and y = f - 2. The analysis of this example is as follows: represented in several ways by two factors, as fg: and in sequently, $y = \frac{48}{x+3} - 2$. Now, the number 48 may be

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Numbers	1	Pactors
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31S — 2 45	£:	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	46 -1	y	148
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		e3	×
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u>0 29</u>	<u> </u>	24.5
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 13		×
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14	y	16
$ \begin{array}{c cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	"	×
5 8 X 8	10	(c ₂	12
8 2 0 4 O	تار تن	1 °2	X
	<u>a 4.</u>	<u>\(\alpha_{\pi} \)</u>	00

by writing mxy = ax + by + c; where a, b, c, and m, are 34. The equation may be expressed still more generally,

> given numbers, and it is required to find integers for x and CHAR. 111.

y that are not known. If we first separate y, we shall have $y = \frac{ax + c}{mx - b}$; and re-

moving x from the numerator, by multiplying both sides by

m, we have $my = \frac{max + mc}{m}$ $\frac{a-a}{b} = a + a$ mc + abax-b

of two factors, as f_B^{α} (which may often be done in several ways), and see if one of these factors may be compared with a ways), so that a be a. Now, for this purpose, since her; let us therefore represent the numerator by a product ber, and whose denominator must be a divisor of that num-We have here a fraction whose numerator is a known num-

 $x = \frac{f+b}{m}$, f+b must be divisible by m; and hence it fol-

those which are of such a nature, that, by adding b to them, the sums will be divisible by m. We shall illustrate this by lows, that out of the factors of mc + ab, we can employ only

an example. Let the equation be 5xy = 2x + 3y + 18. Here, we

рауе added to 3, will give sums divisible by 5. Now, if we conit is therefore required to find those divisors of 96 which, 24, 22, 48, 96, it is evident that only these three of them, viz. 2, 12, 32, will answer this condition. sider all the divisors of 96, which are 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, $y = \frac{x}{5x - 3}$; and $5y = \frac{x}{5x - 3} = \frac{9}{3} + \frac{x}{5x - 3}$; 10x + 90

Therefore, If 5x - 3 = 2, we obtain 5y = 50, and consequently x = 1, and y = 10.

Ø If 5x - 3 = 12, we obtain 5y = 10, and consequently x = 3, and y =

3. If 5x - 3 = 32, we obtain 5y = 5, consequently x = 7, and y = 1.

55. As in this general solution we have

 $my - a = \frac{1}{2}$ mx-bmc+ab

it will be proper to observe, that if a number, contained in the formula mc+ab, have a divisor of the form mx-b, the quotient in that case must necessarily be contained in the anc + ab by a product, such as $(anx - b) \times (any - a)$. For formula my - a: we may therefore express the number

example, let m=12, a=5, b=7, and c=15, and we and 12y - 5 = 43. In the same manner, as the first of these equations gives x = 1, we also find y, in integer numdivisor that satisfies this condition; so that $12\nu - 7 = 5$, contained in the formula 19x - 7; or such as, by adding 7 1, 5, 43, 215; and we must select from these such as are have $19y - 5 = \frac{7}{19x - 7}$. Now, the divisors of 215 are to them, the sum may be divisible by 19: but 5 is the only bers, and therefore deserves particular attention. the greatest importance with regard to the theory of numbers, from the other, namely, y = 4, This property is of 36. Let us now consider also an equation of this kind,

 $xy + x^3 = 2x + 3y + 29$. First, it gives us

<u>~</u> $9x-x^2+29$; | |3 -5, or $y = -x - 1 + \frac{7}{x-3}$; and

 $y+x+1=\frac{2}{x-3}$: so that x-3 must be a divisor of 26; and, in this case, the divisors of 26 being 1, 2, 13, 26, we obtain the three following answers:

1. x - 3 = 1, or x = 4; so that

y + x + 1 = y + 5 = 26, and y = 21; 2. x - 3 = 2, or x = 5; so that x + 1 = y + 6 = 18, and y = 7;

 $\tilde{x} - 3 = 13$, or x = 16; so that y + x + 1 = y + 17 = 2, and y = -15

for the same reason, we cannot include the last case, This last value, being negative, must be omitted; and,

formulæ, in which we find only the first power of y, and mensurable formulæ rational: the methods of performing signs, or the irramonality. Now, the great art of Indeternecessary to find such values of x, as will destroy the radical contain the second, or higher powers of x; and it is then its value by the above rules, we obtain radical signs, which power, or to a degree still higher, and we wish to determine we have explained. But when y also is raised to the second besides, they may always be resolved by the method which higher powers of x; for these cases occur but seldom, and, which will be explained in the following chapters minute Analysis consists in rendering those surd, or incom-37. It would be unnecessary to analyse any more of these

ditions by Do la Grange. * See the Appendix to this chapter, at Art. 4, of the Ad-

QUESTIONS FOR PRACTICE.

OF ALGEBRA.

1. Given 94x = 13y + 16, to find x and y in whole Ans. x = 5, and y = 8.

numbers.

 y_i and the greatest of y_i in whole positive numbers. 2. Given 87x + 256y = 15410, to find the least value of A_{ns} , x = 90, and y = 12800.

and z, in whole numbers, in the equation 5x + 7y + 11z = 224?2. What is the number of all the possible values of x, y,

will pay 100%? and in how many ways can it be done? 4. Howmany old guineas at 21s. 6d; and pistoles at 17s, Ans. Three different ways; that is,

5. A man bought 20 birds for 20 pence; consisting of geese at 4 pence, quaits at 4d. and larks at 4d. each; how 19, 62, 105 pistoles, and 78, 44, 10 guineas.

many had he of each? market to buy hogs; each man and woman bought as many Ans. Three geese, 15 quails, and 2 larks. 6. A. B, and C, and their wives P, Q, and R, went to

hogs, as they gave shillings for each; A bought 25 hogs more than O, and B bought 11 more than P. Also each man laid out three guineas more than his wife. Which two persons were, respectively, man and wife? guineas and moidores only? but guineas, and he has nothing but louis d'ors, valued at 7. To determine whether it be possible to pay 100% in 8. I owe my friend a shilling, and have nothing about me Ans. B and Q, C and P, A and R.

crowns, guineas, and moidores only? by the nine whole digits respectively, shall leave no remainders. 10. To find the least whole number, which being divided Ans. I must pay him 18 guineas, and he must give me In how many ways is it possible to pay 1000%, with 16 louis d'ors.

17s. each; how must I acquit myself of the debt

893

CHAP. IV.

On the Method of rendering Surd Quantities of the form $\sqrt{(a+bx+cx^2)}$ Rational

consequently, that a rational root of it may be assigned. Now, the letters a, b, and c, represent given numbers; and the determination of the unknown quantity depends chiefly on the nature of these numbers; there being many cases in 38. It is required in the present case to determine the values which are to be adopted for x, in order that the formula $a + bx + cx^{\circ}$ may become a real square; and values also to be integer numbers; as this latter condition possible, we must content ourselves at first with being able to which the solution becomes impossible. But even when it is produces researches altogether peculiar. assign rational values for the letter x, without requiring those

different methods, which will be explained in their proper than the second power of x; the higher dimensions require 39. We suppose here that the formula extends no farther

might be a square, we should only have to make $a + bx = y^2$. in the formula, and c were = 0, the problem would be attended with no difficulty; for if $\sqrt{(a+bx)}$ were the given whence we should immediately obtain $x = \frac{y^2 - a}{1 - a}$. formula, and it were required to determine x, so that a + bxWe shall observe first, that if the second power were not Now,

consequently, $\sqrt{(a+bx)}$ would be a rational quantity. would always be such, that a + bx would be a square, and whatever number we substitute here for y, the value of x

ing unity to their squares, the sums may likewise be squares; and as it is evident that those values of x cannot be integers. that is to say, we are to find such values of x, that, by addwe must be satisfied with finding the fractions which express 40. We shall therefore begin with the formula $\sqrt{(1+x^2)}$

squares; and, consequently, we should be led to a question as so that in order to find x we should have to seek numbers square, we should have $x^2 = y^2 - 1$, and $x = \sqrt{(y^2 - 1)}$; difficult as the former, without advancing a single step. for y, whose squares, diminished by unity, would also leave 41. If we supposed $1 + x^2 = y^2$, since $1 + x^2$ must be a

> It is certain, however, that there are real fractions, which, being substituted for x, will make 1+x a square; of GHAR, W. OF ALGEBRA.

which we may be satisfied from the following cases: 1. If $x = \frac{3}{72}$ we have $1 + x^2 = \frac{25}{16}$; and consequently

2. $1+x^2$ becomes a square likewise, if $x=\frac{4}{3}$, which gives $\sqrt{(1+x^2)}=\frac{5}{3}$. 3. If we make $x = \frac{5}{120}$ we obtain $1 + x^2 = \frac{162}{1420}$, the

square root of which is 13. But it is required to shew how to find these values of as

and even all possible numbers of this kind x^2 destroys itself; so that we may express x without a radical sign. For cancelling x^2 on both sides of the equaquires us to make $\sqrt{(1+x^2)} = x + p$; from which supposition we have $1 + x^2 = x^2 + 2px + p^2$, where the square a quantity in which we may substitute for p any number tion, we obtain $2px + p^{c} = 1$; whence we find $x = \frac{1}{2p}$ whatever less than unity. 42. There are two methods of doing this. The first re-

Let us therefore suppose $p = \frac{m}{n}$, and we have

e II $\frac{w}{2m}$, and if we multiply both terms of this fraction

by n^2 , we shall find $x = \frac{n^2 - n^2}{n}$.

we may take for m and n all possible integer numbers, and in this manner find an infinite number of values for x. 48. In order, therefore, that 1 + x may become a square,

Also, if we make, in general, $x = \frac{1}{2mn}$, we find, by $n^4-2m^2n^2+m^4$ m^2-m^2

squaring, $1 + x^2 = 1 +$ بر [] $\overline{4m^2}$ in the numerator, $1 + x^2 =$ $4m^2n^2$ $n^4 + 2m^2n^2 + m^4$ -, or, by putting $4m^2n^2$

fraction which is really a square, and gives

 $V(1+x^c)=$ n^2+m^2 291172

least values of x. We shall exhibit, according to this solution, some of the ج ≼

OF ALGEBRA.

44. We have, therefore, in general, We have $x=\frac{3}{4}$ and m=1 $1+\frac{(n^{2}-m^{a})^{2}}{(n^{2}-m^{a})^{2}}$ $(2mn)^2 = 1$ $(n^2+m^4)^2$ $(2mn)^2$

and, if we multiply this equation by (2mn)2, we find $(2mn)^2 + (n^2 - m^2)^2 = (n^2 + m^2)^2;$

so that we know, in a general manner, two squares, whose

solution of the following question: sum gives a new square. This remark will lead to the To find two square numbers, whose sum is likewise a

square number. We must have $p^2 + q^2 = r^2$; we have therefore only to $r=n^2+m^2.$ make p = 2mn, and $q = n^2 - m^2$, then we shall have

Farther, as $(n^2 + m^2)^2 - (2mn)^2 = (n^2 - m^2)^2$, we may also resolve the following question: To find two squares, whose difference may also be a square

We might also make $p = n^2 + m^2$, and $q = n^2 - m^2$, from which we should find r = 2mn. Here, since $p^c - q^c = r^2$, we have only to suppose $p = n^c + m^c$, and q = 2mn, and we obtain $r = n^c - m^c$.

square to the formula $1 + x^2$. The other is as follows: 45. We spoke of two methods of giving the form of a

If we suppose $\sqrt{(1+x^2)} = 1 + \frac{mx}{n}$, we shall have

 $1 + x^2 = 1 + \frac{9mx}{n} + \frac{m^2x^2}{n^2}$; subtracting 1 from both sides we have $x^2 = \frac{2mx}{n} + \frac{m^2x^2}{n^2}$. This equation may be divided

whence we find x = $m^2 - m^2$ 2mnHaving found this value of

x, we have

 $1 + x^2 = 1 +$ $\frac{4m^2n^2}{n^4 - 2m^2n^2 + m^4} = \frac{n^4 + 2m^2n^2 + m^4}{n^4 - 2m^2n^2 + m^4}$ which is

the square of $\frac{n^2+m^2}{n^2-m^2}$. Now, as we obtain from that, the

by x, so that we have $x = \frac{2m}{n} + \frac{m^2x}{n^2}$, or $n^2x = 2mn + m^2x$,

equation 1 4 CHAR. IV. fore, $(n^2 - m^2)^2 + (2mn)^2 = (n^2 + m^2)^2$; $\frac{(2mn)^2}{(n^2-m^2)^2} = \frac{(n^2+m^2)^2}{(n^2-m^2)^2}$ we shall have, as be-

that is, the same two squares, whose sum is also a square. methods of transforming the general formula $a+bx+cx^2$ into a square. The first of these methods applies to all which a is a square. We shall consider both these supthe cases in which c is a square; and the second to those in 46. The case which we have just analysed furnishes two

First, let us suppose that c is a square, or that the given formula is $a + bx + f^*x^*$. Since this must be a square,

we shall make $\sqrt{(a+bx+f^2x^2)}=fx+\frac{m}{n}$, and shall thus

have $a + bx + f^2x^2 = f^2x^2 + \frac{2mfx}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n^2}$, in which the terms containing x2 destroy each other, so that

 $a + bx = \frac{2mpfx}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n^2}$. If we multiply by n^2 , we obtain $n^2a + n^2bx = 2mnfx + m^2$; hence we find $x = \frac{m^2 - n^2a}{n^2b - 2mnf}$; and,

 $\sqrt{(a+bx+f^2x^4)} = \frac{m^2f-n^2af}{m^4b-2mnf} + \frac{m}{n} = \frac{n}{n}$ substituting this value for x, we shall have $mnb-m^2f-n^2af$

 $\frac{m^2-n^2a}{n^2b-2mnf}$, let us make $x=\frac{p}{q}$, then $p=m^2-n^2a$, and 47. As we have got a fraction for x, namely, . 15 a

square; and as it continues a square, though it be multiplied by the square q^2 , it follows, that the formula $aq^2 + bpq + f^2p^2$ is also a square, if we suppose $p = m^2 - n^2a$, and $q = n^2b - 2mnf$. Hence it is evident, that an infinite $q = n^2b - \tilde{\alpha}mnf$; so that the formula $a + \frac{bp}{a} + \frac{j}{a}$ this expression, because the values of the letters m and n are number of answers, in integer numbers, may result from

posed, for example, the formula $f^{c_1} + bx + cx^q$, which it is required to make a square. Here, let us suppose which a, or the first term, is a square. Let there be proarbitrary. 48. The second case which we have to consider, is that in

327

 $\sqrt{(f^2 + bx + cx^2)} = f + \frac{mx}{n}$, and we shall have $f^2 + bx + cx^2 = f^2 + \frac{2fmx}{n} + \frac{m^2x^2}{n^2}$, in which equation the terms f^2 destroying each other, we may divide the remaining terms by x, so that we obtain $\frac{2mf}{m^2x}$

 $\dot{b} + cx = \frac{2mf}{n} + \frac{m^2x}{n^3}$, or $n^2b + n^2cx = 2mnf + m^2x$, or $x(n^2c - m^2) = 2mnf - n^2b$; or, lastly, $x = \frac{2mnf - n^2b}{n^2c - m^2}$.

If we now substitute this value instead of x, we have $\sqrt{(f^2 + bx + cx^2)} = f + \frac{2m^2f - mnb}{n^2c - m^2} = \frac{n^2cf + m^2f - mnb}{n^2c - m^2};$

and making $x = \frac{p}{q}$, we may, in the same manner as before, transform the expression $f^2q^2 + bpq + cp^3$, into a square, by making $p = 2mnyf - n^2b$, and $q = n^2c - m^2$.

49. Here we have chiefly to distinguish the case in which a = 0, that is to say, in which it is required to make a square of the formula $bx + cx^2$; for we have only to suppose $\sqrt{(bx + cx^2)} = \frac{mx}{n}$, from which we have the equa-

tion $bx + cx^2 = \frac{m^2x^2}{n^2}$; which, divided by x, and multiplied by n^2 , gives $bn^2 + cn^2x = m^2x$; and, consequently,

 $m^2-cn^{q^2}$

If we seek, for example, all the triangular numbers that are at the same time squares, it will be necessary that $\frac{x^2+x}{2}$, which is the form of triangular numbers, must be a square; and, consequently, $2x^2+2x$ must also be a square. Let us, therefore, suppose $\frac{m^2x^2}{n^2}$ to be that square, and we shall have $2n^2x+2n^2=m^2x$, and $x=\frac{2n^2}{m^2-2n^2}$; in

which value we may substitute, instead of m and n, all pos-

sible numbers; but we shall generally find a fraction for x, though sometimes we may obtain an integer number. For though sometimes we may obtain an integer number. For example, if m = 3, and n = 2, we find x = 8, the triangular example, if m = 3, and n = 2, we find x = 8.

example, "" — ", "" — ", "" is also a square. In this case, mumber of which, or 36, is also a square. The same time x = -50, the triangle of which, 1225, is at the same time x = -50, the triangle of which, 1225, is at the same time x = -50. We should the triangle of +49, and the square of 35. We should the triangle of +49, and the square of -50, in that case, we should also have found x = -49. For, in the same manner, if m = 17 and n = 19, we obtain In the same manner, if m = 17 and n = 19, we obtain

2 = 288, its triangular number 48

 $\frac{x(x+1)}{9} = \frac{288 \times 289}{2} = 144 \times 289$

which is a square, whose root is $12 \times 17 = 204$. We may remark, with regard to this last case, that 50. We have been able to transform the formula $bx + cx^2$ into a we have been able to transform factor, x; this observation square from its having a known factor, x; this observation leads to other cases, in which the formula $a + bx + cx^2$ may likewise become a square, even when neither a nor c may likewise become a square, even when c

are squares.

These cases occur when $a + bx + cx^2$ may be resolved into two factors; and this happens when $b^2 - 4ac$ is a into two factors; and this happens when $b^2 - 4ac$ is a factors square: to prove which, we may remark, that the factors depend always on the roots of an equation; and that, depend always on the roots of an equation; and that, therefore, we must suppose $a + bx + cx^2 = 0$. This being laid down, we have $cx^4 = -bx - a$, or

 $x^2 = -\frac{bx}{c} - \frac{a}{c}$, whence we find $x = -\frac{b}{2c} \pm \sqrt{(b^2 - 4ac)}$, or $x = -\frac{b}{2c} \pm \frac{\sqrt{(b^2 - 4ac)}}{2c}$, and, it is evident, that if $b^2 - 4ac$ be a square, this quantity becomes rational.

Therefore let $b^2 - 4ac = d^2$; then the roots will be $-\frac{b \pm d}{2c}$, that is to say, $x = -\frac{b \pm d}{2c}$; and, consequently, the divisors of the formula $a + bx + cx^2$ are $x + \frac{b - d}{2c}$, and

 $x+\frac{b+d}{2c}$. If we multiply these factors together, we are brought to the same formula again, except that it is divided by c; for the product is $x^2+\frac{bx}{c}+\frac{b^2}{4c^2}-\frac{d^2}{4c^2}$; and since $d^2=d^2-d^2$; and since

 $x^2 + \frac{bx}{c}$ only to multiply one of the factors by c, and we obtain the multiplied by c, gives $cx^2 + bx + a$. We have; therefore, formula in question expressed by the product, + 4 60 $\frac{b^2}{4c^2} + \frac{4ac}{4c^2} = x^2 + \frac{bx}{c} + \frac{a}{c}$; which being

$$(cx + \frac{b}{2} - \frac{d}{2}) \times (x + \frac{b}{2c} + \frac{d}{2c});$$

ever $b^2 - 4ac$ is a square. and it is evident that this solution must be applicable when-

shall add to the other two. $u + bx + cx^3$ may be transformed into a square; which we 51. From this results the third case, in which the formula

of this quantity, let us suppose its root, or when the formula may be represented by a product, such as $(f + gx) \times (h + kx)$. Now, in order to make a square This case, as we have already observed, takes place

$$\sqrt{(f+gx)\times(h+kx)}=\frac{n(f+gx)}{n}$$
; and we shall then

have $(f+gx) \times (h+hx) = \frac{m^2(f+gx)^2}{n^2}$; and, dividing

this equation by f'+gw, we have $h+kx=\frac{m^2(f+gx)}{2}$. 1.

$$hn^2 + kn^2x = fm^2 + gm^2x;$$

and, consequently, $x = fm^2 - hn^2$ kn^a-gm^ω

To illustrate this, let the following questions be pro-

be subtracted from twice their square, the remainder may be Question 1. To find all the numbers, x, such, that if 2

must observe, that this quantity is expressed by the factors, $2 \times (x+1) \times (x-1)$. If, therefore, we suppose its root dividing by x + 1, and multiplying by n^2 , we obtain $=\frac{m(x+1)}{n}$, we have $2(x+1)\times(x-1)=\frac{m^2(x+1)^2}{n^2}$ Since $2x^2 - 2$ is the quantity which is to be a square, we

 $2n^2x - 2n^2 = m^2x + m^2$, and $x = \frac{m^2 + 2n^2}{2n^2 - m^2}$.

If, therefore, we make m=1, and n=1, we find x=3,

If m = 3 and n = 2, we have x = -17. Now, as x is

only found in the second power, it is indifferent whether we take x = -17, or x = +17; either supposition equally CHAR, IV.

53. Question 2. Let the formula $6 + 19x + 6x^2$ be pro- $\ddot{a}=6$, b=18, and c=6, in which neither a nor c is a gives $2x^2 - 2 = 576 = 24$. posed to be transformed into a square. Here, we have square. If, therefore, we try whether $b^2 - 4ac$ becomes a square, we obtain 25; so that we are sure the formula may be represented by two factors; and those factors are

$$(2+3x)\times(3+2x).$$

If $\frac{m(2+3x)}{m}$ is their root, we have

$$(2 + 3x) \times (3 + 2x) = \frac{m^2(2 + 3x)^2}{n^2}$$
, whence

which becomes $3n^2 + 2m^2x = 2m^2 + 3m^2x$, whence we find rator of this fraction may become positive, $3n^2$ must be greater than $2m^2$; and, consequently, $2m^3$ less than $2n^2$: $x = \frac{1}{2n^2 - 3m^2} = \frac{2m^2 - 2n^2}{3m^2 - 2n^2}$. Now, in order that the numethat is to say, $\frac{m^2}{n^2}$ must be less than $\frac{3}{2}$. With regard to the denominator, if it must be positive, it is evident that $3m^2$ must exceed $2n^2$; and, consequently, $\frac{m^2}{n^2}$ must be greater of x, we must assume such numbers for \bar{m} and n, that If, therefore, we would have the positive values

may be less than $\frac{3}{2}$, and yet greater than $\frac{2}{3}$.

 $\frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{36}{25}$, which is less than $\frac{3}{2}$, and evidently greater than For example, let m = 6, and n = 5; we shall then have

whence $x = \frac{1}{3}$, whence $x = \frac{1}{3}$ whence resolved into two such parts, that the first is a square, and the second the product of two factors: that is to say, in this which occurs whenever the formula $a + bx + cx^a$ may be case, the formula must be represented by a quantity of the form $p^{\circ} + qr$, in which the letters p, q, and r express quantities of the form f + gx. It is evident that the rule for this

case will be to make $\sqrt{(p^2+qr)}=p+\frac{mq}{n}$; for we shall

p" vanish; after which we may divide by q, so that we find thus obtain $p^2 + qr = p^2 + \frac{2mpq}{n} + \frac{m^2q^2}{n^2}$, in which the terms $\frac{2mp}{n} + \frac{m^2q}{n^2}$, or $n^2r = 2mnp + m^2q$, an equation from

a few examples. the application of which is easy, and we shall illustrate it by case in which our formula may be transformed into a square; which x is easily determined. This, therefore, is the fourth

may be a square. if we subtract unity from this double square, the remainder its square, shall exceed some other square by unity; that is, 55. Question 3. Required a number, x, such, that double

greater by I than the square 49. For instance, the case applies to the number 5, whose square 25, taken twice, gives the number 50, which is

since $b^2 - 4ac = 8$ which is not a square; so that none of and as we have, by the formula, a = -1, b = 0, and c = 2, it is evident that neither a nor c is a square; and farther, that the given quantity cannot be resolved into two factors, this formula may be represented by the first three cases will apply. But, according to the fourth, According to this enunciation, $2x^2 - 1$ must be a square;

$$x^{2} + (x^{2} - 1) = x^{2} + (x - 1) \times (x + 1).$$

If, therefore, we suppose its root $= x + \cdot$ m(x+1)77 · , we

$$x^{2} + (x+1) \times (x-1) = x^{2} + \frac{2mx(x+1)}{n} + \frac{m^{2}(x+1)^{2}}{n^{2}}$$

divided the other terms by x + 1, gives This equation, after having expunged the terms x^2 , and

 $n^2x - n^2 = 2mnx + m^2x + m^2$; whence we find

 $\frac{1}{n^2-2mn-m^2}$; and, since in our formula, $2x^2-1$, the m^2+n^2

square xº alone is found, it is indifferent whether we take write -m, instead of +m, in order to have positive or negative values for x. We may at first even

CHAP. IV.

OF ALGEBRA.

 $x := n^2 + 2mn - m^2$

If we make m=1, and n=1, we find x=1, and n=2, we find n=2, we find $x=\frac{5}{7}$, and $2x^2-1=\frac{1}{75}$; lastly, if we suppose m=1, and n=-9, we find x=-5, or x=+5, and $2x^2-1=49$. and increased by 2, may likewise be squares. 56. Question 4. To find numbers whose squares doubled

Such a number, for instance, is 7, since the double of its square is 98, and if we add 2 to it, we have the square

 $b^x - 4ac$, the last being = -16, are squares, we must, therefore, have recourse to the fourth rule. Let us suppose the first part to be 4, then the second will be $2x - 3 = 2(x + 1) \times (x - 1)$, which presents the quantity proposed in the form We must, therefore, have $2x^2 + 2$ a square; and as a = 2, b = 0, and c = 2, so that neither a nor c, nor

 $4 + (x+1) \times (x-1)$.

Now, let $2 + \frac{m(x+1)}{x}$ be its root, and we shall have

the equation

the equation
$$4 + 2(x+1) \times (x-1) = 4 + \frac{4m(x+1)}{n} + \frac{m^3(x+1)^2}{n^2}$$

in which the squares 4, are destroyed; so that after having $2m^2x - 2m^2 = 4mn + m^2x + m^2$; and consequently, divided the other terms by x + 1, we have $4mn+m^2+2n^2$

x = x $2n^{2}-m^{2}$

x = 7, and $2x^2 + 2 = 100$. But if m = 0, and n = 1, we If, in this value, we make m = 1, and n = 1, we find

have x = 1, and $2x^2 + 2 = 4$. not so readily as in the foregoing examples. three rules applies, that we are still able to resolve the formula into such parts as the fourth rule requires, though 57. It frequently happens, also, when none of the first

+ 18x2, the resolution we speak of is possible, but the method of performing it does not readily occur to the mind. and we perceive that this part has two factors, because $17^{\circ} - (4 \times 6 \times 12)$, = 1, is a square. The two factors $1-2x+x^2$, so that the other may be $6+17x+12x^2$: It requires us to suppose the first part to be $(1-x)^2$ or Thus, if the question comprises the formula 7 + 15x

333

CHAP .. IV. · · OF ALGEBRA.

formula becomes $9 + 14g + 7g^2$, in which the first term is a square; so that we shall suppose, conformably to the second rule, the square root of the new formula to be

 $\frac{my}{n}$, and we shall thus obtain the equation

 $9 + 14y + 7y^2 = 9 + \frac{6my}{n} + \frac{m^2y^2}{n^2}$, in which we may expunge 9 from both sides, and divide by y: which being done, we shall have $14m^2 + 7m^2y = 6mn + m^2y$; whence

 $y = \frac{6mn - 14n^2}{7n^2 - m^2}$; and, consequently,

which can in no case whatever become a square. On the other hand, it is sufficient to know a single case, in which a formula is possible, to enable us to find all its answers; and

solved at all: such, for instance, as the formula $3x^2 + 2$,

there is an infinite number of them which cannot be rethe resolution of any such formula be possible or not; for

analysis is easily found; and, on this account, we shall explain a general method for discovering, beforehand, whether

But, as we have observed, it cannot be said that this

now resolve by the fourth rule.

therefore are $(3+3x) \times (3+4x)$; so that the formula becomes $(1-x)^3 + (3+3x) \times (3+4x)$, which we may

8 || | $6mn - 7n^2 - m^2$ $7m^2-m^2$ ____, in which we may substitute any

since the second power of x stands alone, $x = +\frac{1}{3}$, wherefore $2 + 7x^2 = \frac{25}{3}$. values we please for m and n. If we make m=1, and n=1, we have $x=-\frac{1}{2}$; or,

If m = 3, and n = 1, we have x = -1, or x = +1. But if m = 3, and n = -1, we have x = 17; which gives $2 + 7x^2 = 2025$, the square of 45.

But, by making m = 8, and n = -3, we find x = 271; so that $2 + 7x^2 = 514089 = 717^2$. manner, x = -17, or x = +17. becomes a square by the supposition of x = -1. Here, if If m = 8, and n = 3, we shall then have, in the same 60. Let us now examine the formula $5x^3 + 3x + 7$, which

we make x = y - 1, our formula will be changed into this $5y^2 - 10y + 5$ *5y⁵* − 7y+9

the square root of which we shall suppose to be $3 - \frac{my}{n}$; by

which means we shall have

 $y = \frac{1}{5n^2 - m^2}$; and, lastly, $x = \frac{3n}{2}$ $5m^2y - 7m^2 = -6mn + m^2y$; whence we deduce $5y^2 - 7y + 9 = 9 - \frac{6my}{n} + \frac{m^2y^2}{n^2}$, or $2n^e-6mn+m^e$ $5n^{a}-m^{a}$

evident, that after this, the supposition of $x = \frac{t}{u}$ cannot fail make the formula $au^2 + btu + ct^2$ a square; and it is $a + \frac{bt}{u} + \frac{ct^2}{u^2}$ which results from it, be a square, it will be

for x the general fraction $\overset{\smile}{u}$; and, if the formula

so also after having been multiplied by u^2 ; so that it only remains to try to find such integer values for t and u, as will have the following the following the such that it is a such t

small numbers successively for x, until we meet with a case as $a + bx + cx^2$, may be transformed into a square; and the method which naturally occurs for this, is to substitute to determine, or suppose, any case in which such a formula

Now, as x may be a fraction, let us begin with substituting

the advantage that can be expected on these occasions, is this we shall explain at some length.

58. From what has been said, it may be observed, that all

which gives a square.

to give the formula $a+bx+cx^2$ equal to a square. But if, whatever we do, we cannot arrive at any satisfacimpossible to transform the formula into a square; which, as tory case, we have every reason to suppose that it is altogether

satisfactory case is determined, it will be easy to find all the we have already said, very frequently happens. ceived, at the same time, that the number of those solutions other cases which likewise give a square; and it will be per-59. We shall now shew, on the other hand, that when one

suppose x = 1; let us therefore make x = 1 + y, and, by substitution, we shall have $x^2 = 1 + 2y + y^2$, and our b=0, and c=7. This evidently becomes a square, if we is always infinitely great. Let us first consider the formula $2 + 7x^2$, in which a = 2,

OF ALGEBRA.

If m=2, and n=1, we have x=-6, and consequently

8x + 7 = 1681 = 41. $5x^{\circ} + 3x + 7 = 169 = 13^{\circ}$ But if m = -2 and n = 1, we find x = 18, and $5x^2 +$

which we must begin with the supposition of $x = \frac{t}{u}$. Hav-61. Let us now consider the formula, $7x^2 + 15x + 13$, in

 $7t^2 + 15tu + 13u^2$, which must be a square. ing substituted and multiplied u^2 , we obtain and u. fore try to adopt some small numbers as the values of Let us there-

If t = 1, and u = 1, t = 2, and u = 1, the formula will becomed t = 2, and u = -1, the formula will becomed t = 3, and u = 1, = 71 = 11 = 121.

Now, 121 being a square, it is proof that the value of x=3 answers the required condition; let us therefore suppose x = y + 3, and we shall have, by substituting this value in the formula,

$$7y^4 + 49y + 63 + 15y + 45 + 19$$
, or $7y^2 + 57y + 121$.

Therefore let the root be represented by $11 + \frac{my}{n}$, and we

shall have
$$7y^2 + 57y + 121 = 121 + \frac{22my}{n} + \frac{m^2y^2}{n^2}$$
, or $7x^2 + 57x^2 - 99mx + m^2y + whence$

 $7m^2y + 57m^2 = 22mn + m^2y$; whence

$$= \frac{57n^2 - 22mn}{m^2 - 7n^2}, \text{ and } x = \frac{36n^2 - 22mn + 3m^2}{m^2 - 7n^2}.$$

find $x = -\frac{3}{2}$, and the formula becomes Suppose, for example, m = 3, and n = 1; we shall then

$$7x^2 + 15x + 13 = \frac{1}{4} = (\frac{5}{2})^2$$

n=-1, we have $x=\frac{x^2}{2}$, and the formula If m=1, and n=1, we find $x=-\frac{17}{6}$; if m=3, and

$$7x^2 + 15x + 13 = 12240 = (347)^3$$
.

square. We have already said that $3x^{9} + 2$ is one of those unmanageable formulæ; and, by giving it, according to this rule, the form $3t^{9} + 2u^{9}$, we shall perceive that, whatever find a case, in which the proposed formula may become a values we give to t and u_2 this quantity never becomes a 62. But frequently it is only lost labor to endeavour to As the formulæ of this kind are very

> by which their impossibility may be perceived, in order that we may be often saved the trouble of useless trials; which numerous, it will be worth while to fix on some characters, shall form the subject of the following chapter*.

CHAP. V.

Of the Cases in which the Formula $a + bx + cx^2$ can never become a Square.

ing: This is done by supposing $x = \frac{y-b}{2c}$; which substituwe shall observe, in the first place, that it may always be transformed into another, in which the middle term is want-63. As our general formula is composed of three terms,

tion changes the formula into $a + by + b + y^2 - 2by + b^2, \text{ or } 4u$ a + 2c + 4cmust be a square, let us make it equal to $\frac{z^2}{4}$, we shall then $\frac{4ac-b^2+y^2}{4ac}$; and since this

have $4ac - b^2 + y^2 = 4cz^2$ $\frac{1}{4}$, $= cz^2$, and, consequently,

 $a^2 = cz^2 + b^2 - 4ac$. Whenever, therefore, our formula is a square, this last $cz^2 + b^2 - 4ac$ will be so likewise; and be a square also. If therefore we write t, instead of b^2-4ac , of the form $cz^2 + t$ can become a square or not. And as the whole will be reduced to determining whether a quantity reciprocally, if this be a square, the proposed formula will this formula consists only of two terms, it is certainly much easier to judge from that whether it be possible or not; but in any further inquiry we must be guided by the nature of

from the division of a square by another square being likewise a square, the quantity cz^2 cannot be a square, unless the given numbers c and t.

64. It is evident that if t = 0, the formula cz^* can become a square only when c is a square; for the quotient arising

* See the Appendix to this chapter, at Article 5. of the Ad-

OHAP. V.

 $\frac{cz^2}{z^2}$, that is to say, c, be one. So that when c is not a square

the formula cz^2 can by no means become a square; and on the contrary, if c be itself a square, cz^2 will also be a square, whatever number be assumed for z.

65. If we wish to consider other cases, we must have recourse to what has been already said on the subject of different kinds of numbers, considered with relation to their division by other numbers.

We have seen, for example, that the divisor 3 produces three different kinds of numbers. The first comprehends the numbers which are divisible by 3, and may be expressed by the formula 3n.

The second kind comprehends the numbers which, being divided by 3, leave the remainder 1, and are contained in the formula 3n + 1.

To the third class belong numbers which, being divided by 3, leave 2 for the remainder, and which may be represented by the general expression 3n + 2.

sented by the general expression 3n + 2.

Now, since all numbers are comprehended in these three formulæ, let us therefore consider their squares. First, if the question relate to a number included in the formula 3n, we see that the square of this quantity being $9n^2$, it is divisible not only by 3, but also by 9.

If the given number be included in the formula 3n+1, we have the square $9n^9+6n+1$, which, divided by 3, gives $3n^9+2n$, with the remainder 1; and which, congression belongs to the second class, 3n+1. Lastly, if the number in question be included in the formula 3n+2, we have to consider the square $9n^9+12n+4$; and if we divide it by 3, we obtain $3n^2+4n+1$, and the remainder 1; so that this square belongs, as well as the former, to the class 3n+1.

Hence it is obvious, that square numbers are only of two kinds with relation to the number 3; for they are either divisible by 8, and in this case are necessarily divisible also by 9; or they are not divisible by 3, in which case the remainder is always 1, and never 2; for which reason, no number contained in the formula 3n + 2 can be a square.

66. It is easy, from what has just been said, to shew, that the formula $3x^2 + 2$ can never become a square, whatever integer, or fractional number, we choose to substitute for x-integer, if x be an integer number, and we divide the formula $3x^2 + 2$ by 3, there remains 2; therefore it cannot be a

square. Next, if x be a fraction, let us express it by

 $\frac{t}{u}$ supposing it already reduced to its lowest terms, and that t

and u have no common divisor. In order, therefore, that $\frac{u}{u^2} + 2$

may be a square, we must obtain, after multiplying by u^2 , $3t^2 + 2u^2$ also a square. Now, this is impossible; for the number u is either divisible by 3, or it is not: if it be, t will not be so, for t and u have no common divisor, since the

fraction $\frac{t}{u}$ is in its lowest terms. Therefore, if we make

u = 3f, as the formula becomes $3t^2 + 18f^2$, it is evident that it can be divided by 3 only once, and not twice, as it must necessarily be if it were a square; in fact, if we divide by 3, we obtain $t^2 + 6f^2$. Now, though one part, $6f^2$, is divisible by 3, yet the other, t^2 , being divided by 3, leaves 1 for a remainder.

Let us now suppose that u is not divisible by 3, and see what results from that supposition. Since the first term is divisible by 3, we have only to learn what remainder the second term, $2u^2$, gives. Now, u^2 being divided by 3, second term, $2u^2$, gives. Now, u^2 being divided by 3, leaves the remainder 1, that is to say, it is a number of the class 3n + 1; so that $2u^2$ is a number of the class 6n + 9; and dividing it by 3, the remainder is 2; consequently, the formula $2u^2 + 2u^2$, if divided by 3, leaves the remainder 2, and is certainly not a square number.

67. We may, in the same manner, demonstrate, that the formula $3t^{\varepsilon} + 5u^{\circ}$, likewise can never become a square, nor any one of the following:

in which the numbers 5, 8, 11, 14, &c. divided by 3, leave in which the numbers 5, 8, 11, 14, &c. divided by 3, leave 2 for ameninder. For, if we suppose that u is divisible by 3, and, consequently, that t is not so, and if we make u = 5n, we shall always be brought to formulæ divisible by 3, but not divisible by 9: and if u were not divisible by 3, and consequently u^2 a number of the kind 3n + 1, we should have the first term, $3t^2$, divisible by 3, while the second terms, $5u^2$, $8u^2$, $11u^2$, &c. would have the forms 15n + 5, 24n + 8, 23n + 11, &c. and, when divided by 3, would

constantly leave the remainder z. 68. It is evident that this remark extends also to the general formula, $3t^2 + (3n + 2) \times u^2$, which can never become a square, even by taking negative numbers for n. If, for example, we should make n = -1, I say, it is im-

CHAP. V.

is a number of the kind 3n + 1, and our formula becomes $3l^2 - 3n - 1$, which, being divided by 3, gives the remainder -1, or +2; and in general, if n be =-m, we have -1, is evident, if u be divisible by 3: and if it be not, then u possible for the formula $3t^2 - u^3$ to become a square. obtain the formula $3t^{\mu} - (3m - 2) u^{2}$, which can never be-

come a square. every number may be comprised in one of the four following visor 3; if we now consider 4 also as a divisor, we see that 69. So far, therefore, are we led by considering the di-

$$4n, 4n + 1, 4n + 2, 4n + 3.$$

The square of the first of these classes of numbers is 16n°;

and, consequently, it is divisible by 16. That of the second class, 4n + 1, is $16n^2 + 8n + 1$; which if divided by 8, the remainder is 1; so that it belongs to the formula 8n +

square is included in the formula 16n + 4. which if we divide by 16, there remains 4; therefore this The square of the third class, 4n + 2, is $16n^2 + 16n + 4$;

Lastly, the square of the fourth class, 4n + 3, being $16n^2 + 24n + 9$, it is evident that dividing by 8 there re-

square numbers are either of the form 16n, or 16n + 4and, consequently, that all the other even formulæ, namely, 16n+2, 16n+6, 16n+8, 16n+10, 16n+12, 16n+14, 70. This teaches us, in the first place, that all the even

can never become square numbers.

8n + 3, or of 8n + 5, or of 8n + 7, can never be squares. the other odd numbers, which have the form either of they leave a remainder of 1. And hence it follows, that all formula 8n + 1; that is to say, if we divide them by 8. Secondly, that all the odd squares are contained in the

t and u are both odd, or the one is even and the other odd. $3t^2 + 2u^2$ cannot be a square. For, either the two numbers square. But, if the second case be supposed, and the even case, therefore, in which both to and uo are contained in the would, at least, have the common divisor 2. In the first and u odd, the first term $3t^a$ will be divisible by 4, and the would leave the remainder 3, and the other term 2us would be 5: consequently, the formula in question cannot be a leave the remainder 2; so that the whole remainder would formula 8n+1, the first term $3t^c$, being divided by 8 They cannot be both even, because in that case they 71. These principles furnish a new proof, that the formula

> if we were to suppose u an even number, as \mathfrak{L}_s , and t odd, so that t^2 is of the form 8n+1, our formula would be changed second term $\mathfrak{D}u^{\circ}$, if divided by 4, will leave the remainder $\mathfrak{D}u^{\circ}$ into this, $24n + 3 + 8s^2$; which, divided by 8, leaves remainder of 2, and therefore cannot form a square. Lastly, so that the two terms together, when divided by 4, leave a දුර

and therefore cannot be a square. This demonstration extends to the formula $3t^2 + (8n + 2)u^2$;

also to this, $(8m + 3) t^2 + 2u^2$, and even to this, $(8m + 3) t^2 + (8n + 2) u^2$; in which we may substitute for m and n all integer numbers, whether positive or negative.

with respect to which all numbers may be ranged under the 72. But let us proceed farther, and consider the divisor 5,

five following classes:

sequently be divisible not only by 5, but also by 25. first class, its square will have the form 25n2; and will con-We remark, in the first place, that if a number be of the 5n, 5n + 1, 5n + 2, 5n + 3, 5n + 4.

the form $25n^2 + 10n + 1$; and as dividing by 5 gives the remainder 1, this square will be contained in the formula Every number of the second class will have a square of

 $25n^2 + 20n + 4$; which, divided by 5, gives 4 for the re-5n+1. The numbers of the third class will have for their square

The square of a number of the fourth class is $25n^2 + 20n + 9$; and if it be divided by 5, there remains 4. mainder.

Lastly, the square of a number of the fifth class is $95n^3 + 40n + 16$; and if we divide this square by 5, there

will remain 1. tained in the formula 5n + 2, or 5n + 3. 2, or 3: hence it follows, that no square number can be conthe remainder after division will always be 1, or 4, and never When a square number therefore cannot be divided by 5,

 u^2 will either be of the form 5n + 1, or 5n + 4. In the first of these cases, the formula $5t^2 + 2u^2$ becomes $5t^2 + 10n + 2$; which, divided by 5, leaves a remainder of 2; be squares. On the other hand, if u be not divisible by 5, will be divisible by 5, but not by 25; therefore they cannot divisible by 5, or it is not: in the first case, these formulæ $5t^2 + 2u^2$, nor $5t^2 + 3u^2$, can be a square. For, either u is being divided by 5, gives a remainder of 3; so that neither the one nor the other can be a square. With regard to the and the formula $5t^2 + 3u^2$ becomes $5t^2 + 15n + 3$; which, case of $u^2 = 5n + 4$, the first formula becomes $\delta t^2 + 10n + 8$; 73. From this it may be proved, that neither the formula

this case also, neither of the two formulæ can be a square. $5\ell^2 + 15n + 12$, which, divided by 5, leaves 2; so that in which, divided by 5, leaves 3; and the other becomes

5mt, instead of 5t, provided m be not divisible by 5. come a square, since they leave the same remainders that we formula $5t' + (5n + 2)u^2$, nor $5t^2 + (5n + 3)u^2$, can behave just found. We might even in the first term write For a similar reason, we may remark, that neither the

be divisible by 4, and the other term, being divided by 4, will give 3 for a remainder; and, if we suppose the two numbers t and u odd, the remainders of t^2 and of u^2 will be a square, it follows that the general formula $(4m + 3)t^3 + t^4$ consequently, since neither 4u + 2, nor 4u + 3, can become 4, leaves a remainder of 2. 4n, and all the odd squares in the formula 4n + 1; and 2: now, there is no square number, which, when divided by $(4n+3)u^2$ can never be a square. For if t be even, t' will ; consequently, the remainder of the whole formula will be 74. Since all the even squares are contained in the formula

negatively, or = 0, and still the formulæ $3t + 3u^2$, and We shall remark, also, that both m and n may be taken - u², cannot be transformed into squares.

visors, that some kinds of numbers can never become squares, we might determine similar kinds of numbers for all other 75. In the same manner as we have found for a few di-

seven different kinds of numbers, the squares of which we If we take the divisor 7, we shall have to distinguish

eir squares are of the kind, $49n^2$ $7n$ + 14n + 1 $7n + 1+ 28n + 4$ $7n + 1+ 49n + 9$ $7n + 2+ 56n + 16$ $7n + 2+ 70n + 25$ $7n + 4+ 84n + 36$ $7n + 4$

Therefore, since the squares which are not divisible by 7, are all contained in the three formulae 7n + 1, 7n + 2, 7n + 4, it is evident, that the three other formulae, 7n + 3, 7n + 5, and 7n + 6, do not agree with the nature of squares.

remark, that the last kind, 7n + 6, may be also expressed 76. To make this conclusion still more apparent, we shall

> is the same as 7n-2, and 7n+4 the same as 7n-5. by 7n-1; that, in the same manner, the formula 7n+5each other in the same respect, each leaving the remainder 4. the two classes, 7n + 2, and 7n - 2, ought to resemble two classes of numbers, 7n + 1, and 7n - 1, if divided by This being the case, it is evident, that the squares of the 7, will give the same remainder 1; and that the squares of 77. In general, therefore, let the divisor be any number

whatever, which we shall represent by the letter d, the different classes of numbers which result from it will be

$$dn$$
;
 $dn + 1$, $dn + 2$, $dn + 3$, &c.
 $dn - 1$, $dn - 2$, $dn - 3$, &c.

common, that, when divided by d, they leave the remainder in which the squares of dn + 1, and dn - 1, have this in same manner, the squares of the two classes dn + 2, and 1, so that they belong to the same formula, dn + 1; in the remainder a2, or that which remains in dividing a2 by d. dn+a, and dn-a, when divided by d, give a common may conclude, generally, that the squares of the two kinds, dn = 2, belong to the same formula, dn + 4.

divisor 7, it is easy to perceive, that none of these three formulæ, 7t² + 3u², 7t² + 5u², 7t² + 6u², can ever become a square; because the division of u² by 7 only gives the remainders 1, 2, or 4; and, in the first of these formulæ, there remains either 3, or 6, or 5; in the second, 5, 3, or 6; there remains either 3, or 6, or 5; which cannot take place in and in the third, 6, 5, or 3; which cannot take place in square numbers. Whenever, therefore, we meet with such finite number of formulæ, such as $at^{i} + bu^{i}$, which cannot by any means become squares. Thus, by considering the ing any case, in which they can become squares: and, for this reason, the considerations, into which we have just formulæ, we are certain that it is useless to attempt discover-78. These observations are sufficient to point out an in-

entered, are of some importance. The given formula, Art. 63, was properly $ax^2 + b$; and, as we usually obtain fractions for x, we supposed us to deduce from it an infinite number of similar cases. to find a single case, in which it becomes a square, to enable nature, we have seen in the last chapter, that it is sufficient If, on the other hand, the formula proposed is not of this

 $x=\frac{1}{u}$, so that the problem, in reality, is to transform

at' + bu' into a square

<u>تن</u> <u>دن</u>

But there is frequently an infinite number of cases, in which x may be assigned even in integer numbers; and the determination of those cases shall form the subject of the following chapter.

HAP. VI.

Of the Cases in Integer Numbers, in which the Formula $ax^a + b$ becomes a Square.

79. We have already shewn, Art. 63, how such formule as $a + bx + cx^2$, are to be transformed, in order that the second term may be destroyed; we shall therefore confine our present inquiries to the formula $ax^2 + b$, in which it is required to find for x only integer numbers, which analytransform that formula into a square. Now, first of all, such a formula must be possible; for, if it be not, we shall not even obtain fractional values of x, far less integer ones.

80. Let us suppose then $ax^2 + b = y^2$; a and b being

Now, here it is absolutely necessary for us to know, or to have already found a case in integer numbers; otherwise it would be lost labor to seek for other similar cases, as the formula might happen to be impossible.

integer numbers, as well as x and y.

We shall, therefore, suppose that this formula becomes a square, by making x = f, and we shall represent that square by g^a , so that $af^a + b = g^a$, where f and g are known numbers. Then we have only to deduce from this case other similar cases; and this inquiry is so much the more important, as it is subject to considerable difficulties; which, however, we shall be able to surmount by particular artifices.

81. Since we have already found $af' + b = g^a$, and likewise, by hypothesis, $ax^a + b = y^a$, let us subtract the first equation from the second, and we shall obtain a new one, $ax^a - af' = y^a - g^a$, which may be represented by factors in the following manner; $a(x + f) \times (x - f) = (y + g) \times (y - g)$, and which, by multiplying both sides by pq, becomes $apq(x + f) \times (x - f) = pq(y + g) \times (y - g)$. If we now decompound this equation, by making ap(x + f) = q(y + g), and q(x - f) = p(y - g), we may derive, from these two equations, values of the two letters x and y. The

Latter equation from the former, we have first, divided by q, gives $y + g = \frac{apx + fapf}{a}$; and the se-CHAR. WI. cond, divided by p, gives $y - g = \frac{qx - qf}{n}$. Subtracting this may be put into the form $g(ap^2+g^2)$ ter value, the first two terms, both containing the letter g; shall have $y = g(ap^2 + q^2) - 2afpq$. terms will be reduced to the same denomination, and we containing the letter f, may be expressed by $\frac{-rJ''}{ap^2-q}$, all the ap^2-q^2 $(2gpq = (ap^{2} - q^{2})x + (ap^{2} + q^{2})f;$ therefore ap^a-q^a $g_{e} = \frac{(ap^{2} - q^{2})x + (ap^{2} + q^{2})f}{m\sigma}$, or (ap^s+q^s) ap^2-q^2 , from which we obtain $\frac{(ap^2+q^2)fq}{(ap^2-q^2)p} - \frac{qf}{p}.$ And as, in this lat-OF ALGEBRA $\frac{1}{ap_2-q^2}$, and as the other two,

82. This operation seems not, at first, to answer our purpose; since having to find integer values of x and y, we are brought to fractional results; and it would be required to brought to fractional results; and it would be required to solve this new question,—What numbers are we to substitute solve this new question,—What numbers are we to substitute for p and q, in order that the fraction may disappear? A question apparently still more difficult than our original one: question apparently still more difficult than our original one: question apparently still more difficult artifice, that will but here we may employ a particular artifice, that will but here we may employ a particular artifice, that will but here we may employ a particular artifice, that will but here we may employ a particular artifice, that will but here we may employ a particular artifice, that will but here we may employ a particular artifice, that will but here we may employ a particular artifice, that will be expressed in integer numbers, let

us make $\frac{ap^2+q^2}{ap^2-q^2}=m$, and $\frac{2pq}{ap^2-q^2}=n$, in order that we

may have x = ng - mf, and y = mg - nuf. Now, we cannot here assume m and n at pleasure, since these letters must be such as will answer to what has been already determined: therefore, for this purpose, let us consider their squares, and we shall find

 $m^{2} = \frac{a^{9}p^{4} + 2ap^{2}q^{2} + q^{4}}{a^{9}p^{4} - 2ap^{9}q^{2} + q^{4}} \text{ and}$ $n^{2} = \frac{4p^{9}q^{2}}{a^{2}p^{4} - 2ap^{9}q^{2} + q^{4}}; \text{ and hence}$

CHAP. VI.

m² - an == $\frac{a^2p^4 - 2ap^2q^2 + q^4}{a^2p^4 - 2ap^2q^2 + q^4} = 1.$ $a^*p^4 + 2ap^*q^* + q^* - 4ap^*q^*$ $a^{1}p^{4}-2ap^{2}q^{4}+q^{4}$

that af'' + b may become a square, namely g'', we shall oband when we have likewise determined the number f so, $an^2 + 1$ a square; for then m will be the root of that square; termining such an integer number for n, as will make number, we must begin by considering the means of demust be such, that $m^2 = an^2 + 1$. So that, as a is a known 83. We see, therefore, that the two numbers m and n

square n² still remains the same. we may write instead of them -n and -n, because the 84. It is evident, that having once determined m and n

new case, namely, x = ng + mf, and y = mg + ngf, also will give $an^2 + 1 = m^2$: the method for which shall be described in the sequel, and when this is done, we shall have a we have therefore found such a case, we must also endeavour know a case, such that af' + b may be equal to g^2 ; when to know, beside the number a, the values of me and n, which in integer numbers, so that are -But we have already shewn that, in order to find x and y $b = y^{i}$, we must first

wards determine an infinite number of others. for f, and mg + naf for g, we shall have new values of x and y, from which, if they be again substituted for x and y, that, by means of a single case known at first, we may afterwe may find as many other new values as we please: so Putting this new case instead of the preceding one, which was considered as known; that is to say, writing ng + mf

which leads to the same solution. it will be proper, therefore, to explain a shorter method which an accidental circumstance only enabled us to reduce from our object, since it brought us to complicated fractions, has been very embarrassed, and seemed at first to lead us 85. The manner in which we have arrived at this solution

found af'' + b = g'', the first equation gives us b = g' - ax', and the second gives b = g'' - af''; consequently, also, termining the unknown quantities x and y, by means of the known quantities f and g. It is evident, that for this pur $y^2 - ax^2 = g^2 - af^2$, and the whole is reduced to de-Since we must have $ax^2 + b = y^2$, and have already

> pose we need only make x = f and y = g; but it is also evident, that this supposition would not furnish a new case ing laid down, we have $m^2 - an^2 = 1$; and mult that $an^2 + 1$ is a square, or that $an^2 + 1 = m^2$; which besuppose that we have already found such a number for n, in addition to that already known. this equation the one we had last, we find also y Let us now suppose y = gm + afn, and we shall have $[af^2) \times (m^2 - an^2) = g^2 m^2 - af^2 m^2 - ag^2 m^2 + a^2 f^2 m^2$ We shall, therefore, luplying by $y^2 - ax^2 =$

 $g^{2}n^{2} + 2afgmn + a^{2}f^{2}n^{2} - ax^{2} =$ $g^{a}m^{2}-af^{a}m^{2}-ag^{a}n^{2}+a^{2}f^{a}n^{2},$

there remains $ax^2 = af^2m^2 + ag^2n^2 + 2afgmn$, or $x^2 =$ fame + 9 kmn + gana. Now, this formula is evidently a in which the terms $g^a m^a$ and $a^2 f^a m^a$ are destroyed; so that square, and gives x = fm + gn. Hence we have obtained the same formulæ for x and y as before.

87. It will be necessary to render this solution more

evident, by applying it to some examples. Question 1. To find all the integer values of x, that when n=3, and consequently m=3; so that every case, which is known for f and g, giving us these new cases x=3f+2g, and y=3g+4f, we derive from the first solution, f=1 and g=1, the following new solutions: and y = 1: which gives us f = 1 and g = 1. Now, it is case immediately presents itself, namely, that in which x=will make $2x^a - 1$, a square, or give $2x^a - 1 = y^a$. Here, we have a = 2 and b = -1; and a satisfactory $2m^2 + 1 = m^2$; and we see immediately, that this obtains farther required to determine such a value of n, as will give

$$x = f = 1$$
 | 5 | 29 | 169
 $y = g = 1$ | 7 | 41 | 239, &c.

that are at the same time squares. 88. Question 2. To find all the triangular numbers,

square, we have $\frac{z^2+z}{a}=x^2$: multiplying by 8, we have which is to be also a square; and if we call x the root of this Let z be the triangular root; then $\frac{z^2+z}{2}$ is the triangle.

 $4x^3 + 4x = 8x^3$; and also adding 1 to each side, we

$$4x^{2} + 4x + 1 = (2x + 1)^{4} = 8x^{4} + 1$$

Hence the question is to make $8v^2 + 1$ become a square;

CHAP. VI.

OF ALGEBRA

for, if we find $8x^{2} + 1 = y^{2}$, we shall have y = 2z + 1, and, consequently, the triangular root required will be

$$z = \frac{y-1}{2}$$

immediately occurs, namely, f = 0 and g = 1. It is farther evident, that $8n^9 + 1 = m^2$, if we make n = 1, and m = 3; therefore x = 3f + g, and y = 3g + 8f; and since Now, we have a=8, and b=1, and a satisfactory case

, we shall have the following solutions:

which are at the same time squares. 89. Question 3. To find all the pentagonal numbers,

multiplying by 12, and adding unity, we have $36z^4 - 12z + 1 = (6z - 1)^4 = 24x^2 + 1$; also, making we shall make equal to x^2 , so that $3z^2 - z = 2x^2$; then If the root be z, the pentagon will be $=\frac{3z^2-z}{o}$, , which

g = 1; and as we must have $24n^2 + 1 = m^2$, we shall make n = 1, which gives m = 5; so that we shall have x = 5f + g $24x^{2} + 1 = y^{2}$, we have y = 6z - 1, and $z = \frac{y+1}{z}$. Since a = 24, and b = 1, we know the case f = 0, and

and y = 5g + 24f; and not only $z = \frac{y+1}{6}$, but also

 $z = \frac{1-y}{6}$, because we may write y = 1 - 6z: whence we find the following results:

$$x = f = 0
y = g = 1
z = \frac{y+1}{6} = \frac{1}{5} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 10 & 99 & 980 \\ 49 & 485 & 4801 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$z = \frac{y+1}{6} = \frac{1}{5} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \frac{2}{5} & 81 & \frac{2+6}{5} \\ -800, & -\frac{2}{5} & -800, & -\frac{2}{5} \end{vmatrix}$$

90. Question 4. To find all the integer square numbers, which, if multiplied by 7 and increased by 2, become

say, x = 1; so that x = f = 1, and y = g = 3. If we next consider the equation $7n^2 + 1 = m^2$, we easily find also that n = 3 and m = 8; whence x = 8f + 3g, and y = 8g + 21f. We shall therefore have the following b = 2; and the known case immediately occurs, that is to It is here required to have $7x^2 + 2 = y^2$, or a = 7, and

 $y = g = 3 \mid 45 \mid 717, &c.$ x = f = 1 | 17 | 271

91. Question 5. To find all the triangular numbers, that are at the same time pentagons. Let the root of the triangle be p, and that of the pentagon q: then we must have $\frac{p^a+p}{2}=\frac{8q^a-q}{2}$, or $8q^a-q=p^a+p$; and, in endeavouring to find q, we shall first have $q^2 = \frac{1}{3}q + \frac{p^2 + p}{3}$, and To find all the triangular numbers, that

 $q = \frac{1}{6} \pm \sqrt{(\frac{1}{35} + \frac{p^2 + p}{3})}$, or $q = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{(\frac{12p^2 + 12p + 1}{6})}}{6}$. Consequently, it is required to make $12p^2 + 12p + 1$ become a square, and that in integer numbers. Now, as come a square, and that in integer numbers. Now, as there is here a middle term 12p, we shall begin with making

 $p=\frac{x-1}{2}$, by which means we shall have $12p^2=3x^3-6x+3$, and it is this last quantity, which at present we are required and 12p = 6x - 6; consequently, $12p^2 + 12p + 1 = 3x^2 - 2$; to transform into a square.

 $p = \frac{x-1}{2}$ we have a known case, x = f = 1, and y = g = 1; lastly, in the equation $m^2 = 3n^2 + 1$, we have n = 1, and m = 2; $3x^2-2=y^2$; and here we have a=3, and b=-2. Farther, therefore we find the following values both for x and y, and If, therefore, we make $3x^2 - 2 = y^2$, we shall have $\frac{1}{2}$, and $q = \frac{1+y}{6}$; so that all depends on the formula

for p and q: First, x = 2f + g, and y = 2g + 3f; $x = f = 1 \begin{vmatrix} 3 \end{vmatrix} = 11$ y = g =q = 041 71 20 12

because we have also q = -

CHAP AL

OF ALGEBRA.

second term, in the following manner. Let $ax^2 + bx + c$ be the given formula, which must be a have now given may be applied, without taking away that term, we were obliged to expunge it, but the method we 92. Hitherto, when the given formula contained a second

 $uf^* + bf + c = g^2.$ square, y_2^2 , and let us suppose that we already know the case

have $a(x^2 - f^2) + b(x - f') = y^2 - g^2$, which may be expressed by factors in this manner: Now, if we subtract this equation from the first, we shal

$$(x-f) \times (ax+af+b) = (y-g) \times (y+g)$$
; and if we multiply both sides by pq , we shall have

which equation may be resolved into these two, $pq(x - f)(ax + af + b) = pq(y - g) \times (y + g),$

1.
$$p(x-f) = q(y-g)$$
,
2. $q(ax+af+b) = p(y+g)$.

subtracting the first product from the second, we obtain Now, multiplying the first by p, and the second by q, and

which gives
$$x = \frac{2gpq}{aq^2 - p^2} - \frac{(aq^2 + p^2)f + bq^2}{aq^2 - p^2} - \frac{bq^2}{aq^2 - p^2}$$
.
But the first equation is $q(y - g) = p(x - f) = \dots$

$$p(\frac{2gpq}{aq^2 - p^2} - \frac{2afq^2}{aq^2 - p^2} - \frac{bq^2}{aq^2 - p^2}); \text{ so that } y - g = \frac{aq^2 - p^2}{aq^2 - p^2}$$

 $aq^2-\overline{p^2}$ a $y = g'(\frac{1}{aq^2 - p^2})$ (aq^2+p^2) $aq^2 - p^2 - ao$ pdfpg aq^2-p^2-ac $-\frac{1}{aq^2-p^2}$; so that y-g'= $\frac{1}{aq^{z}-p^{z}}$; and, consequently, 2afpq aq^2-p^2

before, $\frac{aq^2 + p^2}{aq^2 - p^2} = m$, and $\frac{2pq}{aq^2 - p}$ Now, in order to remove the fractions, let us make, as $\frac{1}{aq^2-p^2} = n$; and we shall have

$$m+1=rac{2aq^2}{aq^2-p^2}, \ ext{and} \ rac{q^2}{aq^2-p^2}=rac{m+1}{2a}; \ ext{therefore}$$

 $m^* = an^* + 1.$ in which the letters m and n must be such, that, as before $x = ng - mf - \frac{b(m+1)}{2a}$, and $y = mg - nuf - \frac{1}{4}bn$;

are still mixed with fractions, since some of their terms contain the letter b; for which reason they do not answer our 93. The formulæ which we have obtained for x and y,

> then $x = -2gpq + f(aq^2 + p^2) + bq^2$, and $y = -g(aq^2 + p^2) + 2gfpq + bpq$; but as in the known case, $af^2 + bf + c + g^2$, we find only the second power of g, it is of no consequence what sign we give that letter; if, therefore, we have $aq^3 - p^2 = -1$, and the fractions will disappear. numbers p and q that were introduced at the beginning. In fact, if we take p and q, so that $p^c = aq^2 + 1$, we shall we should have obtained much more easily by means of the ones, we constantly obtain integer numbers; which, indeed, purpose. But if from those values we pass to the succeeding write -g instead of $+\tilde{g}$, we shall have the formulæ

$$x = 2gpq + f(aq^2 + p^2) + bq^2, \text{ and }$$

$$y = g(aq^2 + p^2) + 2afpq + bpq.$$

and we shall thus be certain, at the same time, that $ax^2 + bx + c = y^2.$ Let it be required, as an example, to find the hexagonal

numbers that are also squares. We must have $2x^2 - x = y^2$, or a = 2, b = -1, and c=0, and the known case will evidently be x=f=1, and

 $y \equiv g = 1$. Heavilier, in order that we may have $p^2 = 2\eta^2 + 1$, we must have q = 2, and p = 3; so that we shall have x = 12g + 17f - 4, and y = 17g + 24f - 6; whence result the following values:

$$x = f = 1$$
 | 25 | 841
 $y = g = 1$ | 35 | 1189, &c.

the formula $ax^2 + b$ a square in integer numbers. Let $ax^2 + b = y^2$, and it will be required to fulfil two second term was wanting, and examine the cases which make 94. Let us also consider our first formula, in which the

conditions:

tion $af^2 + b = g^2$. 2. We must know such values of m and n, that and we shall suppose that case to be expressed by the equa $m^2 = an^2 + 1$; the method of finding which will be taught 1. We must know a cese in which this equation exists;

and y = mg + anf; this, also, will lead us to other similar cases, which we shall represent in the following manner: in the next chapter. From that results a new case, namely, x = ng + mf

$$x = f \mid A \mid B \mid C \mid D \mid B$$

$$y = g \mid P \mid Q \mid B \mid S \mid T, &c.$$

in which $a = ng + nnf |_{\Omega = nP} + ma |_{\Gamma = nQ} + mB |_{D = nR} + mc$ and $p = ng + anf |_{\Omega = nP} + ana |_{\Gamma = nQ} + ann |_{S} = nR + anc, &c.$

PART II

and these two series of numbers may be easily continued to

venience, and to give a rule, not only for finding the upper under one in view; but it is easy to remove this inconseries, without knowing the other, but also for determining not continue the upper series for x, without having the any tength. the latter without the former. 95. It will be observed, however, that here we can-

second series n and s. each other in a certain progression, such that each term (as, terms c and D, without having recourse to the terms of the for example, E), may be determined by the two preceding The numbers which may be substituted for x succeed In fact, since E = ns + mp =

n(mn + anc) + m(nn + mc) =

we therefore find $2mnR + an^{2}c + m^{2}c$, and nR = D - mc,

E = $2mD - (m^2 - am^2)c$; or lastly, E = 2mD - c, because $m^2 = an^2 + 1$, $E = 2mD - m^{2}C + an^{2}C$, or

and $m^2 - an^2 = 1$; from which it is evident, how each term is determined by the two which precede it. It is the same with respect to the second series; for, since

 $T = ms + am^2n + amnc$. Farther, s = mn + anc, so gression follows the same law, or the same rule, as the first. we have r = 2ms - R, which proves that the second prothat anc, = s - m c; and if we substitute this value of anc, T = ms + anD, and D = nR + mc, we have

numbers, x, such, that $2x^{a} - 1 = y^{a}$ Let it be required, as an example, to find all the integer

say, each term taken six times and diminished by the pre-ceding term, gives the next. So that the numbers x which ones will be found by the formula $\mathbf{r} = 6\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{c}$: that is to We shall first have f = 1, and g = 1. Then $m^2 = 2n^2 + 1$, if n = 2, and m = 3; therefore, since $\Lambda = ng + mf = 5$, the first two terms will be 1 and 5; and all the succeeding we require, will form the following series:

1, 5, 29, 169, 985, 5741, &c.

infinite number of them by the method which has been we choose to admit fractional terms also, we might find an This progression we may continue to any length; and if

* See the appendix to this chapter at Art. 7, of the additions

Of a particular Method, by which the Formula and + 1 becomes a Square in Integers.

a square; or that we may have $m^2 = an^2 + 1$. any number a, a number n, such, that $am^2 + 1$ may become not be completely performed, unless we are able to assign for 96. That which has been taught in the last chapter, can-

This equation would be easy to resolve, if we were satisfied with fractional numbers, since we should have only to

make $m=1+\frac{np}{q}$; for, by this supposition, we have

 $m^s = 1 + \frac{2np}{q} + \frac{n^sp^s}{q^s} = an^s + 1$; in which equation, we

may expunge 1 from both sides, and divide the other terms by n: then multiplying by q^a , we obtain $2pq + np^a = anq^a$;

and this equation, giving $n = \frac{r_{LL}}{aq^n - p^2}$, would furnish an different means must be employed in order to accomplish number, this method will be of no use, and therefore very infinite number of values for n: but as n must be an integer

the value of a), the thing required would not be possible. to have an + 1 a square, in integer numbers, (whatever be 97. We must begin with observing, that if we wished

cases, in which a would be negative; next, we must exclude those also, in which a would be itself a square; because square, in integer numbers, by being increased by unity. We then an' would be a square, and no square can become a ever a is a positive number, without being a square, it is are obliged, therefore, to restrict our formula to the condition, that a be neither negative, nor a square; but whenof others, as was taught in the last chapter: but for our possible to assign such an integer value of n, that an2 purpose it is sufficient to know a single one, even the least; may become a square: and when one such value has been found, it will be easy to deduce from it an infinite number For, in the first place, it is necessary to exclude all the

CHAP VII

and this, Pell, an English writer, has taught us to find by an ingenious method, which we shall here explain.

nerally, for any number a whatever; it is applicable only to each particular case. This method is not such as may be employed ge-

or that $\sqrt{(2n^2+1)}$ may become rational first seek such a value of n, that 2n'' + 1 may be a square We shall therefore begin with the easiest cases, and shall

by n + p, it is obvious that p must be less than n; and we shall have $\sqrt{(2n^n + 1)} = n + p$; then, by squaring, $2n^n + 1 = n^n + 2np + p^n$; therefore than n, and less than 2n. We immediately see that this square root becomes greater If, therefore, we express this root

$$n^2 = 2np + p^2 - 1$$
, and $n = p + \sqrt{2p^2 - 1}$.

being a square; now, this is the case if p = 1, which gives n = 2, and $\sqrt{(2n^2 + 1)} = 3$. The whole is reduced, therefore, to the condition of $2p^2 - 1$

have gone farther; and since $\sqrt{(2p^2-1)} > p^*$, and, consequently, n > 2p, we should have made n = 2p + q; and should thus have had If this case had not been immediately obvious, we should

$$2p + q = p + \sqrt{(2p^{\circ} - 1)}$$
, or $p + q = \sqrt{(2p^{\circ} - 1)}$, and, squaring, $p^{\circ} + 2pq + q^{\circ} = 2p^{\circ} - 1$, whence $p^{\circ} = 2pq + q^{\circ} + 1$,

as this is the case, if we make q = 0, we shall have p = 1, and n = 9, as before. This example is sufficient to give an which would have given $p = q + \sqrt{(2q^* + 1)}$; so that it would have been necessary to have $2q^* + 1$ a square; and distinct from what follows. idea of the method; but it will be rendered more clear and

make $\sqrt{(3n^n+1)}=n+p$, which gives 99. Let a=3, that is to say, let it be required to transform the formula $3n^2 + 1$ into a square. Here we shall

$$5n^2 + 1 = n^2 + 2np + p^2$$
, and $2n^2 = 2np + p^2 - 1$;

whence we obtain
$$n = \frac{p + \sqrt{3p^2 - 2}}{2}$$
. Now, since

 $\checkmark(3p^2-2)$ exceeds p, and, consequently, n is greater

the former is greater than the latter; and when the angular point is turned the contrary way, as \angle , it signifies that the * This sign, 7, placed between two quantities, signifies that

than $\frac{2p}{2}$, or than p, let us suppose n = p + q, and we

shall have

$$2p + 2q = p + \sqrt{3p^2 - 2}$$
, or $p + 2q = \sqrt{3p^2 - 2}$;

then, by squaring
$$p^2 + 4pq + 4q^2 = 3p^2 - 2$$
; so that

 $2p^2 = 4pq + 4q^2 + 2$, or $p^2 = 2pq + 2q^2 + 1$, and $= q + \sqrt{3q^2 + 1}.$

may make q = 0, and shall thus obtain p = 1, and n = 1; whence $\sqrt{(3n^2 + 1)} = 2$.

100. Let a = 5, that we may have to make a square of the formula $5n^2 + 1$, the root of which is greater than 2n. Now, this formula being similar to the one proposed, we

We shall therefore suppose

 $\sqrt{(5n^2+1)} = 2n + p$, or $5n^2+1 = 4n^2+4np+p^2$;

whence we obtain

which reason, we shall make n = 4p + q, which gives $2p + q = \sqrt{(5p^n - 1)}$, or $4p^n + 4pq + q^2 = 5p^2 - 1$, and $p^n = 4pq + q^n + 1$; so that $p = 2q + \sqrt{(5q^n + 1)}$; and as q = 0 satisfies the terms of this equation, we shall have q = 1, and n = 4; therefore $\sqrt{(5n^n + 1)} = 9$.

101. Let us now suppose a = 6, that we may have to consider the formula $6n^n + 1$, whose root is likewise contained between 2n and 3n. We shall, therefore, make Now, $\sqrt{(5p^2-1)} > 2p$; whence it follows that n > 4p; for $n^2 = 4np + p^2 - 1$, and $n = 2p + \sqrt{(5p^2 - 1)}$.

tained between 2n and 3n.

and, thence, $n = p + \frac{\sqrt{(6p^2 - 2)}}{2}$, or $n = \frac{2p + \sqrt{(6p^2 - 2)}}{2}$ $\sqrt{(6n^2+1)}=9n+p$, and shall have $6n^2 + 1 = 4n^2 + 4np + p^2$, or $2n^2 = 4np + p^2 - 1$;

If, therefore, we make n=2p+q, we shall have

$$4p + 2q = 2p + \sqrt{(6p^2 - 2)}, \text{ or } 2p + 2q = \sqrt{(6p^2 - 2)};$$

that $2p^a = 8pq + 4q^2 + 2$, and $p^a = 4pq + 2q^2 + 1$. Lastly, $p = 2q + \sqrt{(6q^2 + 1)}$. Now, this formula resembling the first, we have q = 0; wherefore p = 1, n = 2, and $\sqrt{(6n^2 + 1)} = 5$. the squares of which are 4p" + 8pq + 4q" = 6p" - 2; so

make m = 2n + p, and we shall have $7n^2+1=m^2$; here we see that $m \neq 2n$; let us therefore

cint. vII.

which gives $n = \frac{2p + 4np + p^2}{3}$. At present, since $n = \frac{2p + \sqrt{(7p^2 - 3)}}{3}$. and we shall have $n + 2n = \sqrt{(7p^2 - 3)}$; then, squaring

and, consequently, greater than p, let us make n = p + q, and we shall have $p + 3q = \sqrt{(7p^2 - 3)}$; then, squaring both sides, $p^2 + 6pq + 9q^2 = 7p^2 - 3$, so that $6p^2 = 6pq + 9q^2 + 3$, or $2p^2 = 2pq + 3q^2 + 1$; whence we get $p = \frac{q + \sqrt{(7q^2 + 2)}}{2}$. Now, we have here $p > \frac{3q}{2}$;

and, consequently, p > q; so that making p = q + r, we shall have $q + 2r = \sqrt{(7q^4 + 2)}$; the squares of which are $q^4 + 4qr + 4r^2 = 7q^4 + 2$; then $6q^4 = 4qr + 4r^2 - 2$, or $3q^4 = 2qr + 2r^4 - 1$; and, lastly, $q = \frac{r + \sqrt{(7r^4 - 3)}}{3}$.

Since now q > r, let us suppose q = r + s, and we shall have

$$2r + 3s = \sqrt{(7r^2 - 3)}$$
; then $4r^2 + 12rs + 9s^2 = 7r^2 - 3$, or $3r^2 = 12rs + 9s^2 + 3$, or $r^2 = 4rs + 3s^2 + 1$, and $r = 2s + \sqrt{(7s^2 + 1)}$.

Now, this formula is like the first; so that making s = 0, we shall obtain r = 1, q = 1, p = 2, and n = 3, or m = 8.

But this calculation may be considerably abridged in the following manner, which may be adopted also in other cases.

Since $7n^2 + 1 = m^2$, it follows that $m \ge 3n$.

If therefore we summore m = 3n - n we shall

If, therefore, we suppose m = 3n - p, we shall have $7n^2 + 1 = 9n^2 - 6np + p^2$, or $2n^2 = 6np - p^2 + 1$; whence we obtain $n = \frac{3p + \sqrt{(7p^2 + 2)}}{6}$; so that $n \ge 3p$; for

this reason we shall write n = 3p - 2q; and, squaring, we shall have $9p^2 - 12pq + 4q^2 = 7p^2 + 2$; or

 $2p^2 = 12pq - 4q^2 + 2$, and $p^2 = 6pq - 2q^2 + 1$, whence results $p = 3q + \sqrt{(7q^2 + 1)}$. Here, we can at once make q = 0, which gives p = 1, n = 3, and m = 8, as before.

Here, we must make m = 3n - p, and shall have

 $8n^2 + 1 = 9n^3 - 6np + p^2$, or $n^2 = 6np - p^2 + 1$; whence $n = 3p + \sqrt{(8p^2 + 1)}$, and this formula being al-

ready similar to the one proposed, we may make p=0, which gives n=1, and m=3.

which gives n=1, and m=5. 104. We may proceed, in the same manner, for every other number, a, provided it be positive and not a square, and we shall always be led, at last, to a radical quantity, such as $\sqrt{(at^2+1)}$, similar to the first, or given formula, such as $\sqrt{(at^2+1)}$, similar to suppose t=0; for the irradioal then we have only to suppose t=0; for the steps, we thousality will disappear, and by tracing back the steps, we shall necessarily find such a value of n, as will make an^2+1

square. Sometimes we quickly obtain our end; but, frequently Sometimes we quickly obtain our end; but, frequently also, we are obliged to go through a great number of also, we are obliged to go through a great number of operations. This depends on the nature of the number of operations that it will be necessary to pertue the number of operations that it will be necessary to pertue the number of operations that it will be necessary to pertue when a = 18, the calculation becomes much more puch it; and, for this reason, it will be proper here to resolve

that case. therefore a=18, and let it be required to 105. Let therefore a=18, and let it be required, find $13n^2+1=m^2$. Here, as $m^2 7 9n^2$, and, consequently, find $13n^2+1=9n^2+6np+p^2$, or $4n^2=6np+p^2-1$, and $13n^2+1=9n^2+6np+p^2$, or $4n^2=6np+p^2-1$, and $\frac{3p+\sqrt{(13p^2-4)}}{4}$, which shews that $n 7 \frac{6}{4}p$, and there-

fore much greater than p. If, therefore, we make n=p+q, we shall have $p+4q=\sqrt{(13p^2-4)}$; and, taking the squares, we shall have $p+4q=\sqrt{(13p^2-4)}$; and $p+16q^2$;

so that $12p^2 = 8pq + 16q^2 + 4$, or $3p^2 = 2pq + 4q^2 + 1$, and $p = \frac{q + \sqrt{(13q^2 + 3)}}{3}$. Here, $p > \frac{q + 3q}{3}$, or p > q; we

shall proceed, therefore, by making p = q + r, and shall thus obtain $2q + 3r = \sqrt{(13q^2 + 3)}$; then

$$13q^{2} + 3 = 4q^{2} + 12qr + 9r^{2}, \text{ or } 9q^{2} = 12qr + 9r^{2} - 3, \text{ or } 3q^{2} = 4qr + 3r^{2} - 1;$$

$$3q^{2} = 4qr + 3r^{2} - 1;$$

$$2r + \sqrt{(13r^{2} - 3)}$$

which gives $q = -\frac{9r+3r}{3}$, or q > r, we shall make Again, since $q > \frac{9r+3r}{3}$, or q > r, we shall make q = r + s, and we shall thus have $r + 3s = \sqrt{(13r^2 - 3)}$; or $13r^2 - 3 = r^2 + 6rs + 9s^4$, or $12r^2 = 6rs + 9s^2 + 3$, or

 $4y^2 = 2/s + 3s^2 + 1$; whence we obtain

Λ Λ <u>9</u>

so that $4s^2 = 24st + 16t^2 - 4$, and $s^2 = 6ts + 4t^2 - 1$; therefore $s = 8t + \sqrt{(18t^2 - 1)}$. Here we have fore let r=s+t, and we shall have $3s+4t=\sqrt{(13s^2+4)}$, $\frac{s+\sqrt{(13s^2+4)}}{4}. \text{ But here } r > \frac{s+3s}{4}$ and $13s^2 + 4 = 9s^2 + 24st + 16t^2$; s 7 3t + 3t, or s 7 6t; -, or 7.78; where-

 $3t + u = \sqrt{(13t^2 - 1)}$, and $13t^2 - 1 = 9t^2 + 6tu + u^2$; then $4t^2 = 6tu + u^2 + 1$; and, lastly, we must therefore make s = 6t + u; whence # || $\frac{3u+\sqrt{(13u^2+4)}}{4}$, or $t > \frac{6u}{4}$, and t > u.

 $u + 4v = \sqrt{(13u^2 + 4)}$, and $13u^2 + 4 = u^2 + 8uv + 16v^2$; therefore $12u^2 = 8uv + 16v^2 - 4$, or $3u^2 = 2uv + 4v^2 - 1$; If, therefore, we make t = u + v, we shall have $\frac{v+\sqrt{(13v^2-3)}}{3}$, or $u > \frac{4v}{3}$, or u > v.

 $9v^2 = 12vx + 9x^2 + 3$, or $3v^2 = 4vx + 3x^2 + 1$, and Let us, therefore, make u = v + x, and we shall have $18v^2 - 3 = 4v^2 + 12vx + 9x^2$; or $2v + 3x = \sqrt{(13v^2 - 3)}$, and

Let us now suppose v = x + y, and we shall have $\frac{2x+\sqrt{(13x^2+3)}}{2x}$; so that $z > \frac{2}{3}x$, and z > x.

 $x + 3y = \sqrt{(13x^2 + 3)}$, and $13x^2 + 3 = x^2 + 6xy + 9y^2$, or $12x^2 = 6xy + 9y^2 - 3$, and $4x^2 = 2xy + 3y^2 - 1$; whence $x = \frac{y + \sqrt{(18y^2 - 4)}}{x}$

x = y + z, which gives and, consequently, x 7 y. We shall, therefore, make

괊

 $3y + 4z = \sqrt{(18y^2 - 4)}$, and $13y^2 - 4 = 9y^2 + 24zy + 16z^2$, or $4y^2 = 24zy + 16z^2 + 4$; therefore $y^2 = 6yz + 4z^2 + 1$, and $y = 3z + \sqrt{(18z^2 + 1)}$.

This formula being at length similar to the first, we may take z=0, and go back as follows:

الا ا ا v = x + y = 2, | r = s + t = 38, | m = 3n + p = 649x = y + z = 1,So that 180 is the least number, after 0, which we can $s = 6t + u = \frac{33}{33},$ u = v + x = 3, t = u + v = 5,q = r + s = 71, p = q + r = 109,n = p + q = 180

substitute for n, in order that $18n^2 + 1$ may become a 106. This example sufficiently shews how prolix these

calculations may be in particular cases; and when the num-

square.

of the trouble which others have taken; and, for this purfor the number 13. bers in question are greater, we are often obliged to go tedious calculations, we may with propriety avail ourselves through ten times as many operations as we had to perform the values of m and n are calculated for all numbers, a, between 2 and 100; so that in the cases which present thempose, a Table is subjoined to the present chapter, in which As we cannot foresee the numbers that will require such

. 10%. It is proper, however, to remark, that, for certain answer to the given number a. analysis of these cases. I or 2; it will be proper, therefore, to enter into a particular this is the case when a is greater, or less than a square, by numbers, the letters m and n may be determined generally:

selves, we may take from it the values of m and n, which

we shall make m = en - p, from which we have have $(e^2-2)n^2+1=m^2$, it is clear that $m \angle en$; therefore 108. In order to this, let $a = e^2 - 2$; and since we must

$$(e^{z}-2)n^{2}+1=e^{2}n^{z}-2enp+p^{z}$$
, or $2n^{2}=2enp-p^{2}+1$; therefore

 $n = \frac{ep + \sqrt{(e^2p^2 - 2p^2 + 2)}}{2}$; and it is evident that if we make p=1, this quantity becomes rational, and we have

For example, let a = 23, so that e = 5; we shall then have $23n^2 + 1 = m^2$, if n = 5, and m = 24. The reason of which is evident from another consideration; for if, in the case of $a = e^2 - 2$, we make n = e, we shall have $an^2 + 1 = e^4 - 2e^2 + 1$; which is the square of $a = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{1$ $n \equiv e_9$ and $m \equiv e^2 - 1$.

109. Let $a = e^u - 1$, or less than a square by unity. First, we must have $(e^u - 1)n^u + 1 = m^u$; then, because, as before, $m \angle en$, we shall make m = en - p; and this being done, we have

 $(e^{u}-1)n^{u}+1=e^{u}u^{u}-2enp+p^{u}$, or $n^{u}=2enp-p^{u}+1$;

CHAP. VII.

OF ALGEBRA.

wherefore $n=ep+\sqrt{(e^2p^2-p^2+1)}$. Now, the irrationality disappeared by supposing p=1; so that n=2e, and $m=2e^3-1$. This also is evident; for, since $a=e^3-1$, and n=2e, we find

$$an^3 + 1 = 4e^4 - 4e^3 + 1,$$

or equal to the square of $2e^{s} - 1$. For example, let a = 24, or $\epsilon = 5$, we shall have n = 10, and

$$24n^{2}+1=2401=(49)^{2}$$
.

110. Let us now suppose $a = e^z + 1$, or a greater than a square by unity. Here we must have

$$(e^{\mathfrak{s}}+1)n^{\mathfrak{s}}+1=m^{\mathfrak{s}},$$

and m will evidently be greater than en. Let us, therefore, write m = en + p, and we shall have

whence $n = ep + \sqrt{(e^{a}p_{-}^{a} + 2enp + p^{a})}$, or $n^{a} = 2enp + p^{a} - 1$; whence $n = ep + \sqrt{(e^{a}p_{-}^{a} + p^{a} - 1)}$. Now, we may make p = 1, and shall then have n = 2e; therefore $m^{a} = 2e^{a} + 1$; which is what ought to be the result from the consideration, that $a = e^{a} + 1$, and n = 2e, which gives $an^{a} + 1 = 4e^{a} + 4e^{a} + 1$, the square of $2e^{a} + 1$. For example, let a = 17, so that e = 4, and we shall have

17 $n^2 + 1 = m^2$; by making n = 8, and m = 32. 111. Lastly, let $a = e^a + 2$, or greater than a square by 2. Here, we have $(e^a + 2)n^a + 1 = m^a$, and, as before, m > cn; therefore we shall suppose m = en + p, and shall thus have

$$c^{2}n^{2} + 2n^{2} + 1 = e^{2}n^{2} + 2enp + p^{2}$$
, or $2n^{2} = 2epn + p^{2} - 1$, which gives $n = \frac{ep + \sqrt{(e^{2}p^{2} + 2p^{2} - 2)}}{e}$.

Let p = 1, we shall find n = e, and $m = e^* + 1$; and, in fact, since $a = e^* + 2$, and n = e, we have $an^2 + 1 = e^* + 2e^* + 1$, which is the square of $e^2 + 1$.

For example, let a = 11, so that e = 3; we shall find $11n^2 + 1 = m^2$, by making n = 3, and m = 10. If we

* In this case, likewise, the radical sign vanishes, if we make p = 0: and this supposition incontestably gives the least possible numbers for m and n, namely, n = 1, and m = e; that is to say, if e = 5, the formula $24n^2 + 1$ becomes a square by making n = 1; and $b^2 c^2$ root of this square will be m = e = 5. F. T.

supposed a = 83, we should have e = 9, and m = 82.*

* Our author might have added here another very obvious

case, which is when a is of the form $e^2 \pm \frac{2}{c}e$; for then by making n = c, our formula $an^2 + 1$, becomes $e^2c^2 \pm 2ce + 1 = (ec \pm 1)^2$. I was led to the consideration of the above form, from having observed that the square roots of all numbers included in this formula are readily obtained by the method of cluded in this formula are readily obtained by the method of continued fractions, the quotient figures, from which the fractions are derived, following a certain determined law, of two terms, are derived, and that whenever this is the case, the method which is given above is also applied with great facility. And as a great many numbers are included in the above form, I have been induced to place it here, as a means of abridging the

operations in those particular cases.

The reader is indebted to Mr. P. Barlow of the Royal Academy, Woolwich, for the above note; and also for a few more in this Second Part, which are distinguished by the signature, B.

deap. vIII.

OF ALGEBRA.

TABLE, shewing for each value of a the least numbers m and n, that will give $m^2 = an^2 + 1$; or that will render $an^2 + 1$ a square.

		18.00
35 35 35 38 38 38 38 38 39 40 41 42 42 43 44 45 45 46 47 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48	115 117 118 119 20 20 20 22 22 23 24 25 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	2 3 5 6 6 6 7 7 8 8 112 113 114
112 6 6 4 9 320 22 531 30 24 3588 7 11 14 7	1 8 9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 4 4 2 3 1 1 80 4
35 6 73 37 25 19 2049 13 3482 199 161 24835 48 7 7 99	4 53 17 170 9 55 197 24 5 5 127 9801 11 1520 152 9801 152 9801 162 9801 170 9801 180 180 180 180 180 180 180	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99	65 66 66 67 70 71 71 72 72 73 74 75 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77	55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55
18 9 30996 1192 1192 3 21 53000 9 165 120 1260 1260 1260 44 45 6577352	16 8 5967 4 936 30 418 267000 420 420 6630 40 6	9100 66 12 9 20 2574 69 4 226153980 8
163 82 82 285769 10405 28 197 500001 19 1574 1151 12151 12151 2143295 39 62809633 99	129 65 48842 33 7775 251 3480 17 2281249 3699 3699 3699 369 57799 351 53 80 9	m 66249 485 89 15 151 19603 530 530 31 1766319049 63 8
		J-

See Article 8 of the additions by De la Grange.

CHAP. VIII.

Of the Method of rendering the Irrational Formula, $\sqrt{(a+bx+cx^2+dx^2)}$ Rational.

to the third power; after which we shall consider also the fourth power of x, although these two cases are treated in 112. We shall now proceed to a formula, in which x rises

the same manner.

satisfied, without pretending to find values in integer numfind even fractional values of x; and with such we must be of x for this purpose, expressed in rational numbers. the formula $a + bx + cx^2 + dx^3$, and to find proper values this investigation is attended with much greater difficulties than any of the preceding cases, more artifice is requisite to Let it be required, therefore, to transform into a square

that, instead of the number here employed leading to an infinite number of solutions, each operation will exhibit but It must here be previously remarked also, that a general solution cannot be given, as in the preceding cases; and

one value of x. observed an infinite number of cases, in which the solution becomes altogether impossible, we may readily imagine that this will be much oftener the case with respect to the present which, we may then find a third, and proceed, successively known solution, in order to find a new one; by means of give rules for those cases, in which we set out from one formula, which, besides, constantly requires that we already know, or have found, a solution. So that here we can only in the same manner, to others. 113. As in considering the formula $a + bx + cx^2$, we

there are many cases, in which only one solution can take known solution, we can find another; on the contrary, place; and this circumstance is the more remarkable, as in led to an infinite number of other new ones. the analyses which we have before made, a single solution It does not, however, always happen, that, by means of a

square, a case must be presupposed, in which that solution is transformation of the formula, $a + bx + cx^2 + dx^3$, into a possible. Now, such a case is clearly perceived, when the 114. We just now observed, that in order to render the

дилг. үш.

first term is itself a square already, and the formula may be expressed thus, $f^2 + bx + cx^2 + dx^3$; for it evidently becomes a square, if x=0.

out from the known case x = 0, we may arrive at some other value of x. For this purpose, we shall employ two order to which, it will be proper to begin with particular different methods, which will be separately explained: in this formula; and shall endeavour to see how, by setting We shall therefore enter upon the subject, by considering

terms destroy each other; so that we have $x^2 = -x^2 + x^3$, or $x^3 = 2x^2$, which, being divided by x^2 gives x = 2; so that the formula becomes 1 + 4 - 4 + 8 = 9.

Likewise, in order to make a square of the formula, $4 + 6x - 5x^2 + 3x^3$, we shall first suppose its root to be to be equal to our formula; and this will give 1 + $2x - x^2 + x^3 = 1 + 2x + x^3$ of which constitute is For which purpose, let 1+x be the root, whose square is such a quantity as will make the first two terms vanish. first term is a square, we shall adopt for the root required proposed, which ought to become a square. 115. Let, therefore, the formula $1 + 2x - x^2 + x^3$ be $=1+2x+x^3$, of which equation the first two Here, as the

two terms disappear; hence, + nx, and seek such a value of n as will make the first

 $4 + 6x - 5x^3 + 3x^3 = 4 + 4nx + n^2x^2$

a square of the proposed formula, whose root will be therefore we must have 4n = 6, and $n = \frac{3}{4}$; whence results the equation $-5x^2 + 3x^3 = n^2x^2 = \frac{9}{4}x^3$, or $3x^3 = \frac{29}{11}x^2$, which gives $x = \frac{29}{12}$; and this is the value which will make

 $2 + \frac{3}{2}x = \frac{45}{8}$.

the equation may vanish. terms, as $f + gx + hx^3$, such, that the first three terms in 116. The second method consists in giving the root three

2h + 4 = 6; consequently, h = 1; by these means, and transposing $2hx^2 = 2x^2$, we obtain $-5x^3 = -4x^3 + x^4$, both sides; and, in order to remove the third, we must make The first two terms, as we see, are immediately destroyed on $1 - 2x + hx^2$, and we shall thus have $1 - 4x + 6x^2 - 5x^3 = 1 - 4x + 4x^2 - 4hx^3 + h^2x^4 + 2hx^2$. Let there be proposed, for example, the formula $1 - 4w + 6x^2 - 5x^3$, the root of which we shall suppose to be

expressing the root by two terms, as f + px, in which f is 117. These two methods, therefore, may be employed, when the first term a is a square. The first is founded on or -5 = -4 - x, so that x = -1.

> mains only to compare p^*x^* with the third and fourth term of the formula, namely $ax^2 + dx^3$; for then that equation, being divisible by x^2 , gives a new value of x, which is the second term must likewise disappear; so that there rethe square root of the first term, and p is taken such, that

ξ<u>ξ</u> p^2-c

In the second method, three terms are given to the root; that is to say, if the first term $a = f^2$, we express the root by $f + px + qx^2$; after which, p and q are determined such, that the first three terms of the formula may vanish, which is done in the following manner: since

 $f^{**} + bx + cx^{0} + dx^{3} = f^{*} + 2pfx + 2fqx^{0} + p^{0}x^{3} + 2pqx^{3} + q^{0}x^{4}$ we must have b=2fp; and, consequently, $p=\frac{1}{2f}$; farther,

 $c = 2fq + p^{\mu}$; or $q = \frac{c - p^{\mu}}{2f}$; after this, there remains the equation $dx^3 = 2pqx^3 + q^2x^4$; and, as it is divisible by x^3 , we obtain from it x = d-2pq

of x; as will appear, by considering the formula $f^z + dx^z$, in which the second and third terms are wanting. For if, according to the first method, we suppose the root 118. It may frequently happen, however, even when $a=f^*$, that neither of these methods will give a new value

to be f + px, that is, $f^2 + dx^3 = f^2 + 2fpx + p^2x^2$

therefore x=0, which is not a new value of x. we shall have 2fp = 0, and p = 0; so that $dx^3 = 0$; and

root $f + px + qx^2$, or If, according to the second method, we were to make the

 $f^{x} + dx^{3} = f^{\overline{z}} + 2fpx + p^{2}x^{3} + 2fqx^{2} + 2pqx^{3} + q^{2}x^{4}$, we should find 2fp = 0, $p^{x} + 2fq = 0$, and $q^{x} = 0$; whence $dx^3 = 0$, and also x = 0.

a square; if we succeed, this value will then enable us to will apply even to the cases in which the first term is not a find new values, by means of our two methods: and this deavour to find such a value of x, as will make the formula 119. In this case, we have no other expedient, than to en-

thus have $4 + 3y + 3y^2 + y^3$, the first term of which is a as this takes place when x = 1, let x = 1 + y, and we shall If, for example, the formula $3 + x^3$ must become a square;

square. If, therefore, we suppose, according to the first method, the root to be 2 + py, we shall have

In order that the second term may disappear, we must make 4p=3; and, consequently, $p=\frac{3}{4}$; whence $3+y=p^4$, $4 + 3y + 3y^2 + y^3 = 4 + 4py + p^2y^2.$

which is a new value of x. and $y = p^2 - 3 = \frac{9}{16} - \frac{48}{16} = \frac{-39}{16}$ $\overline{16}$; therefore $x=\overline{}$ 1 23

the root by $2 + py + qy^2$, we shall have If, again, according to the second method, we represent

obtain y = from which the second term will be removed, by making 4p = 3, or $p = \frac{1}{4}$; and the fourth, by making $4q + p^2 = 3$, or d = - $4+3y+3y^2+y^3=4+4py+4qy^2+p^2y^2+2pqy^3+q^2y^3$ $\frac{g-p^2}{4} = \frac{39}{64}$; so that $1 = \frac{gpq}{4} + q^2y$; whence we $\frac{1-2pq}{q^2}$, or $y=\frac{352}{1521}$; and, consequently,

120. In general, if we have the formula $a + bx + cx^2 + dx^3,$

 $a + bf + cf^2 + df^3 = g^2$, we may make x = j shall hence obtain the following new formula: and know also that it becomes a square when x = f or that +y, and \cdot

$$+ bf + by + cy^2 + cf^3 + 2cfy + cy^2 + df^3 + 3df^3y + 2dfy^2 + dy^3$$

 $g^{2} + (b + 2cf + 3df^{2})y + (c + 3df)y^{2} + dy^{3}$

also, since x = f + y. they will furnish new values of y, and consequently of xtwo methods above given may be applied with success, as In this formula, the first term is a square; so that the

121. But often, also, it is of no avail even to have found a value of x. This is the case with the formula $1 + x^3$, which becomes a square when x = 2. For if, in consequence of this, we make x = 2 + y, we shall get the formula 9 + $12y + 6y^2 + y^3$, which ought also to become a square.

y = -2, which, since we made x = 2 + y, this gives x = 0; that is to say, a value from which we can derive have 6p = 12, and p = 2; therefore $6 + y = p^2 = 4$, and Now, by the first rule, let the root be 3+py, and we shall have $9+12y+6y^2+y^3=9+6py+p^2y^2$, in which we must

OF ALGEBRA

by $3 + py + qy^{\circ}$; this gives Let us also try the second method, and represent the root

 $-9+12y+6y^2+y^3=9+6py+6qy^2+p^2y^2+2pqy^3+q^3y^4$ $6q + p^2 = 6q + 4 = 6$, and $q = \frac{1}{4}$; farther, n which we must first have 6p = 12, and p = 2; then

 $1 = 2pq + q^2y = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{1}{3}y;$

cannot make use of either method. we wished to make x = -1 + z, we should find the formula, $3z - 3z^2 + z^3$, the first term of which vanishes; so that we from which we can draw no further conclusion, because, if hence y = -3, and, consequently, x = -1, and $1 + x^3 = 0$;

We have therefore sufficient grounds to suppose, after what has been attempted, that the formula $1 + x^3$ can never become a square, except in these three cases; namely, when 1. x = 0, 2. x = -1, and 3. x = 2.

when-But of this we may satisfy ourselves from other reasons.
192. Let us consider, for the sake of practice, the formula
193. which becomes a square in the following cases;

1. x = 0, 2. x = -1, 3. x = 2, and let us see whether we shall arrive at other similar values.

pose x = 1 + y, and we shall thus have Since x = 1 is one of the satisfactory values, let us sup-

9 = 4p, and $p = \frac{5}{4}$, and the other terms will give $9 + 8y = \frac{5}{4}$ Now, let the root of this new formula be 2+py, so that $4+9y+9y^2+3y^3=4+4py+p^2y^2$. We must have $x = -\frac{5}{16} + z$, we should not fail to find new values. $1+3x^3$ becomes a square, namely, $-\frac{37^{\frac{5}{2}}}{4^{\frac{5}{2}}}$, the root of which is $-\frac{61}{6^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, or $+\frac{61}{6^{\frac{1}{2}}}$: and, if we chose to proceed, by making $p^2 = \frac{y_1}{16}$, and $y = -\frac{y_1}{16}$; consequently, $x = -\frac{y_2}{16}$ $1 + 3x^3 = 4 + 9y + 9y^2 + 3y^3$

and suppose the root to be 2 + py + qy; which supposition Let us also apply the second method to the same formula,

therefore, we must have 4p = 9, or $p = \frac{9}{4}$, and $4q + p^2 = 9 = 4q + \frac{87}{15}$, or $q = \frac{63}{64}$; and the other terms will give $3 = 2pq + q^2y = \frac{567}{128} + q^3y$, or $567 + 128q^2y = 284$, or $128q^2y = -183$; that is to say, $4 + 9y + 9y^{\circ} + 3y^{3} = \begin{cases} 4 + 4py + 4qy^{\circ} + 2pqy^{3} + q^{2}y^{4}; \end{cases}$

128
$$\times (\frac{61}{3})^3 y = -183$$
, or $\frac{63^9}{32} y = -183$.

So that $y = -\frac{1952}{1323}$, and $x = -\frac{629}{1323}$; and these values

CHAP. VIII.

OF ALGEBRA.

will furnish new ones, by following the methods which have been pointed out.

125. It must be remarked, however, that if we gave our selves the trouble of deducing new values from the two, which the known case of x = 1 has furnished, we should arrive at fractions extremely prolix; and we have reason to be surprised that the case, x = 1, has not rather led us to the other, x = 2, which is no less evident. This, indeed, is an imperfection of the present method, which is the only mode of proceeding hitherto known.

We may, in the same manner, set out from the case x=2, in order to find other values. Let us, for this purpose, make x=2+y, and it will be required to make a square of the formula, $25+36y+18y^2+3y^3$. Here, if we suppose its root, according to the first method, to be 5+py, we shall have

 $25 + 36y + 18y^2 + 3y^3 = 25 + 10py + p^3y^4;$

and, consequently, 10p = 36, or $p = \frac{19}{12}$: then expunging the terms which destroy each other, and dividing the others by y^2 , there results $18 + 3y = p^2 = \frac{324}{12}$; consequently, $y = -\frac{1}{12}$, and $x = \frac{1}{25}$; whence it follows, that $1 + 3x^3$ is a square, whose root is $5 + py = -\frac{131}{125}$, or $+\frac{131}{125}$.

In the second method, it would be necessary to suppose the root $= 5 + py + qy^2$, and we should then have

 $25 + 36y + 18y^2 + 3y^3 = \begin{cases} 25 + 10py + 10qy^2 + 2pqy^3 \\ + p^2y^2 + q^3yy^3; \end{cases}$ the second and third terms would disappear by making $10p = 36, \text{ or } p = \frac{18}{5}, \text{ and } 10q + p^2 = 18, \text{ or } 10q = 18 - \frac{3^2 + \frac{1}{25}}{15}, \text{ or } q = \frac{6^3 + \frac{3}{15}}{15}; \text{ and then the other terms, divided by } y^3, \text{ would give } 2pq + q^2y = 3, \text{ or } q^2y = \frac{3}{6^2 2^3}; \text{ that is, } y = -\frac{3^2 1^2 1}{13^2 2^3}, \text{ and } 10q = \frac{3^2 1^2 1}{13^2 2^3}, \text{ and } 10q = \frac{3^2 1^2 1}{13^2 2^3}; \text{ and } 10q = \frac{3^2 1^2 1}{13^2 2^3}; \text{ or } 10q = \frac{3^2 1^2 1}{13^2 2^3}; \text{ and } 10q = \frac{3^2 1^2 1^2}{13^2 2^3}; \text{ and } 10q = \frac{3^2 1^2 1^2}{13^2 2^3}; \text{ and } 10q = \frac{3^2 1^2 1^2}{13^2 2^3}; \text{ and } 10q = \frac{3^2 1^2}{13^2}; \text{ and } 10q = \frac{3^2$

 $x = -\frac{629}{124}$. This calculation does not become less tedious and difficult, even in the cases where, setting out differently, we can give a general solution; as, for example, when the formula proposed is $1 - x - x^3 + x^3$, in which we may make, generally, $x = n^2 - 1$, by giving any value whatever to n: for, let n = 2; we have then x = 3, and the formula becomes 1 - 3 - 9 + 27 = 16. Let n = 3, we have then x = 8, and the formula becomes 1 - 8 - 64 + 512 = 441, and so on.

But it should be observed, that it is to a very peculiar circumstance we ove a solution so easy, and this circumstance is readily perceived by analysing our formula into factors; for we immediately see, that it is divisible by

1-x, that the quotient will be $1-x^2$, that this quotient is composed of the factors $(1+x)\times (1-x)$; and, lastly, that our formula,

Now, as it must be a \square [square], and as a \square , when divisible by a \square , gives a \square for the quotient*, we must also have $1+x=\square$; and, conversely, if 1+x be a \square , it is certain that $(1-x)^2 \times (1+x)$ will be a square; we have therefore only to make $1+x=n^2$, and we immediately obtain $x=n^2-1$.

If this circumstance had escaped us, it would have been difficult even to have determined only five or six values of the the preceding methods.

x by the preceding methods.

125. Hence we conclude, that it is proper to resolve every formula proposed into factors, when it can be done; and we have already shewn how this is to be done, by making the given formula equal to 0, and then seeking the root of this equation; for each root, as x = f, will give a factor f - x; and this inquiry is so much the easier, as here we seek only tational roots, which are always divisors of the known term, or the term which does not contain x.

formula, $a + bx + cx^a + dx^a$, when the first two terms disformula, $a + bx + cx^a + dx^a$, when the first two terms disappear, and it is consequently the quantity $cx^2 + dx^a$ that must be a square; for it is evident, in this case, that by dividing by the square x^a , we must also have c + dx a square; and we have therefore only to make $c + dx = n^a$, in order

to have $x = \frac{n^2 - c}{d}$, a value which contains an infinite num-

ber of answers, and even all the possible answers.

127. In the application of the first of the two preceding methods, if we do not choose to determine the letter p, for the sake of removing the second term, we shall arrive at another irrational formula, which it will be required to make rational.

For example, let $f^2 + bx + cx^3 + dx^3$ be the formula proposed, and let its root = f + px. Here we shall have $f^2 + bx + cx^2 + dx^3 = f^2 + 2fpx + p^2x^2$, from which the first terms vanish; dividing, therefore by x, we obtain

* The mathematical student, who may wish to acquire an extensive knowledge of the many curious properties of numbers, is referred, once for all, to the second edition of Legendre's celebrated Essai sur la Theorie des Nombres; or to Mr. Barlow's Elementary Investigation of the same subject.

OF ALGEBRA.

 $b + cx + dx^2 = 2fp + p^2x^2$, an equation of the second dec gree, which gives

$$x = \frac{p^2 - c + \sqrt{(p^4 - 2cp^2 + 8dfp + c^4 - 4bd)}}{2d}$$

of p, as will make the formula $p^4 - 2cp^2 + 8dfp + c^2 - 4bd$ quired number p which occurs here, this case belongs to the become a square. But as it is the fourth power of the re-So that the question is now reduced to finding such values following chapter.

Of the Method of rendering Rational the incommensurable Formula $\sqrt{(a + bx + cx^2 + dx^3 + cx^4)}$

any formulæ, in which higher powers of x are found. secuted far enough to enable us to transform into squares sign of the square root; since the subject has not yet been probe the limit of our researches on quantities affected by the minate number, x, rises to the fourth power; and this must 128. We are now come to formulæ, in which the indeter-

term and the last are squares. term, cx' is a square; and the third, when both the first the first term, a, is a square; the second, when the last these cases separately. Our new formula furnishes three cases: the first, when We shall consider each of

129. 1st. Resolution of the formula

 $\sqrt{(f^2 + bx + cx^2 + dx^3 + ex^4)}$.

equation of the first degree, which will give x without any and then determine p and q, so as to remove the first three terms, and then dividing by x^3 , we shall arrive at a simple the second method; and represent the root by $f+px+qx^2$; on a new radical sign. x^2 in the equation, and the determination of x would depend others be divisible by x^c ; but we should not fail still to find manner, that the first two terms would disappear, and the As the first term of this is a square, we might, by the first mothod, suppose the root to be f + px, and determine p in such a We shall therefore have recourse to

> reason 180. If, therefore, the root be $f + px + qx^2$, and for that

the first terms disappear of themselves; with regard to the second, we shall remove them by making $b=\mathcal{G}p$, or $f^2 + 2fpx + p^2x^2 + 2fqx^2 + 2pqx^3 + q^2x^3$ $f^2 + bx + cx^2 + dx^3 + cx^4 =$

or $q = \frac{c - R^3}{gf}$. This being done, the other terms will be di $p=\frac{b}{2c}$; and, for the third, we must make $c=2fq+p^2$, visible by x^3 , and will give the equation $d + \epsilon x = 2pq + q^2x$. from which we find

 $x = \frac{u - i p q}{q^2 - e}$, or $x = \frac{2pq - d}{e - a^2}$. $e-q^{\frac{1}{a}}$

p=0 and q=0; consequently, $x=-\frac{d}{e}$, from which formula; that is to say, when b=0, and c=0; for then thing, when the second and third terms are wanting in our 131. Now, it is easy to see that this method leads to no-

advantage, since in this case we have d=0, which gives spect to such formulæ as $f^{i} + ex^{i}$, that this method is of no we can commonly draw no conclusion, because this case evidently gives $dx^3 + ex^4 = 0$; and, therefore, our formula terms are wanting, in which case the formula is b=0, and d=0; that is to say, the second and fourth x=0, and this leads no farther. It is the same, when becomes equal to the square f". But it is chiefly with re-

 $f^{e_{1}}+cx^{e_{1}}+cx^{a_{2}}$; for, then p=0, and $q=\frac{c}{gf}$, whence

further advantage can result. x=0, as we may immediately perceive, from which no

132. 2d. Resolution of the formula

 $\sqrt{(a+bx+cx^2+dx^3+g^2x^4)}$

We might reduce this formula to the preceding case, by

supposing $x = \frac{1}{y}$; for, as the formula

 $a+\frac{b}{a+\frac{b}{a+1}}$ $-\frac{c}{\eta^2} + \frac{d}{n^3}$ · y + 82

by the square y^* , we have only to perform this multiplication, in order to obtain the formula must then be a square, and remain a square if multiplied

371

which is quite similar to the former, only inverted.

But it is not necessary to go through this process; we have only to suppose the root to be $gx^2 + px + q$, or, inversely, $q + px + gx^2$, and we shall thus have

$$a + bx + cx^{2} + dx^{3} + g^{2}x^{4} = q^{2} + 2pqx + 2gqx^{2} + p^{2}x^{2} + 2gpx^{3} + g^{2}x^{4}.$$

Now, the fifth and sixth terms destroying each other, we shall first determine p so, that the fourth terms may also destroy each other; which happens when d = 2gp, or

 $p = \frac{7}{2G}$; we shall then likewise determine q, in order to remove the third terms, making for this purpose

$$c = 2gq + p^2$$
, or $q = \frac{c - p^2}{2g}$;

which done, the first two terms will furnish the equation $a + bx = q^2 + 2pqx$; whence we obtain

$$x = \frac{a - q^2}{2pq - b}, \text{ or } x = \frac{q^2 - a}{b - 2pq}.$$

133. Here, again, we find the same imperfection that was before remarked, in the case where the second and fourth terms are wanting; that is to say, b = 0, and d = 0; be-

cause we then find p=0, and $q=\frac{c}{2g}$; therefore

 $x = \frac{a - q^x}{0}$: now, this value being infinite, leads no farther than the value, x = 0, in the first case; whence it follows, that this method cannot be at all employed with respect to expressions of the form $a + cx^x + g^2x^4$.

134. 3d. Resolution of the formula

$$\sqrt{(f^2 + bx + cx^2 + dx^3 + g^2x^4)}$$
.

It is evident that we may employ for this formula both the methods that have been made use of; for, in the first place, since the first term is a square, we may assume $f+px+qx^*$ for the root, and make the first three terms vanish; then, as the last term is likewise a square, we may also make the root $q+px+gx^*$, and remove the last three terms; by which means we shall find even two values of x.

But this formula may be resolved also by two other methods, which are peculiarly adapted to it.

In the first, we suppose the root to be $f + px + gx^2$, and

other; that is to say,

$$f^{2} + bx + cx^{2} + dx^{3} + g^{2}x^{4} = f^{2} + 2fpx + 2fgx^{2} + p^{2}x^{2} + 2gpx^{3} + g^{2}x^{4}$$

Then, making b = 2fp, or $p = \frac{b}{2f}$; and since by these means both the second terms, and the first and last, are destroyed, we may divide the others by x^2 , and shall have the equation $c + dx = 2fg + p^2 + 2gpx$, from which we

obtain
$$x = \frac{c - 2fy - p^2}{2gp - d}$$
, or $x = \frac{p^2 + 2fy - c}{d - 2gp}$. Here, it ought

to be particularly observed, that as g is found in the formula only in the second power, the root of this square, or g, may be taken negatively as well as positively; and, for this reason, we may obtain also another value of x; namely,

$$x = \frac{c + 2fg - p^c}{-2gp - d}$$
, or $x = \frac{p^c - 2fg - c}{2gp + d}$.

Table There is, as we observed, another method of resolving this formula; which consists in first supposing the root, as before, to be $f + px + gx^2$, and then determining p in such a manner, that the fourth terms may destroy each other; which is done by supposing in the fundamental equation, d = 2gp, or $p = \frac{d}{2g}$; for, since the first and the last terms

disappear likewise, we may divide the other by x, and there will result the equation $b + cx = 2fp + 2fx + p^2x$, which

gives $x = \frac{f''}{2fg + p^2 - c}$. We may farther remark, that as the square f'^2 is found alone in the formula, we may suppose its root to be -f, from which we shall have

 $x = \frac{b + 2fp}{p^2 - 2fg - c}$. So that this method also furnishes two

new values of x; and, consequently, the methods we have employed give, in all, six new values.

136. But here again the inconvenient circumstance occurs, that, when the second and the fourth terms are wanting, or when b=0, and d=0, we cannot find any value of x which answers our purpose; so that we are unable to resolve the formula $f^2 + cx^2 + gx^4$. For, if b=0, and g=0, and g=0, and g=0.

giving x = d=0, we have, by both methods, p=0; the former which are proper for furnishing any further conclusions. $\frac{c-2f_{G}^{2}}{0}$, and the other giving x=0; neither of

make the formula a square. methods hitherto explained may be applied; and, if in the be expected, until we have found one such value of x as wil ormula proposed neither term be a square, no success can Let us suppose, therefore, that our formula becomes a 137. These then are the three formulæ, to which the

square in the case of x = h, or that

$$a + bh + ch^2 + dh^3 + eh^4 = k^2;$$

use this transformation, after having determined by the preceding methods one value of x, for instance, x = h; for others, and so on. manner, will furnish new ones; which will also lead to new equation, with which we may proceed in the same manner. And the values of x, that may be found in this if we make x = h + y, we shall have a new formula, the first term of which will be k^2 ; that is to say, a square, which we have then only to make x = h + y, in order to obtain a will, consequently, fall under the first case: and we may also

which is one of those that most frequently occur. and, with regard to the process that must be followed after fourth terms are wanting, until we have found one solution; that, we shall explain it by applying it to the formula $a + ex^4$, no way hope to resolve those formulæ in which the second and 138. But it is to be particularly remarked, that we can in

the first of our three cases; so that we shall represent its square root by $k + py + qy^{e}$; and, consequently, the formula itself will be equal to the square formula, $a' + eh^4 + 4eh^3y + 6eh^2y^2 + 4ehy^3 + ey^4$, must be a square. Now, this formula being reducible to $k^2 + 4eh^3y + 6eh^2y^2 + 4ehy^3 + ey^4$, it therefore belongs to values of x, we must make x = h + y, and the following that $a + eh^4 = k^2$; then if we would find, from this, other Suppose, therefore, we have found such a value of x = h,

termining p, and consequently q; that is to say, by making from which we must first remove the second term by de $k^{2} + 2kpy + p^{2}y^{2} + 2kqy^{3} + 2pqy^{3} + q^{3}y^{4}$

 $4ch^3 = 2kp$, or $p = \frac{2eh^3}{k}$; and $6eh^2 = 2kq + p^2$, or

CHAP. IX. or, lastly, $q = \frac{eh^2(k^2 + 2a)!}{h^3}$, because $eh^4 = k^4 - a$; after $y = q^2 - e$ which, the remaining terms, $4eliy^3 + ey^4$, being divided by y^3 , will give $4eh + ey = 2pq + q^3y$, whence we find q = $4ehk^{4} - 4eh(k^{2} - a) \times (k^{2} + 2a) = 4eh(-ak^{2} + 2a^{2})$ or, because $eh^4 = k^2 - a$, into this, thrown into the form so that the value sought will be $e(k^2-a) \times (k^2+2a)^2 - ek^6$ With regard to the denominator $q^2 - e$, since $\frac{4eh-12pq}{2}$; and the numerator of this fraction may be 6eh - pe $eh^2(k^2+2a)$ *₩* $x = y + h = \frac{h(8ak^2 - k^4 - 4a^1)}{2}$; || $\frac{4aelh(2a-k^{\cdot})}{k^{4}} \times \frac{\kappa^{-}}{ae(3k^{4}-4a^{2})^{3}} \text{ or,}$ $3eh^{2}h^{4}-2e^{2}h^{6*}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, and $ch^4 = k^2 - a$, it becomes $\frac{4hk^2(2a-k^2)}{3k^4-4a^2}$; and, consequently, $h(k^4 - 8ak^2 + 4\alpha^2)$ $4eluk^4-4e^2h^5(k^2+2a)+$ $4a^2-3k^4$ | |] $e(3ak^4-4a^3)=\epsilon$ $eh^{q}(3k^{2}-2eh^{+})$ 6 $ea(3k^4-4a^2)$ $4aeh(2a-k^2)$

+ For since $k^a=a+eh^4$, therefore $3k^a-2eh^4=3a+eh^4=b^4=b^2+2a$. therefore $2pq = \frac{4e^{a}h^{b}(k^{a} + 2a)}{L^{4}}$; and, consequently, ‡ Here $4eh = \frac{4ehh^4}{...}$ * By multiplying $6ch^{a}-p^{a}$ by h^{a} , and substituting for $k^{a}p^{a}$ its 4ch - 2pq = $\frac{e}{k^4}$, also $q = \frac{e}{k^4}$ $4chk'-4c^2h^5(k^2+2a)$ $\frac{eh^2(k^2+2a)}{k^3}, \text{ and } p = \frac{2eh^3}{k}$

supposed to be $k + py + qy^2$, will have this form, $a + ex^4$, it becomes a square; and its root, which we have If, therefore, we substitute this value of x in the formula

 $\frac{8k(k^2-a)\times(2a-k^2)}{a^{7/2}} + \frac{16k(k^2-a)\times(k^2+2a)\times(2a-k^2)^2}{(a^{7/2}+2a)\times(2a-k^2)^2}$ $(3k^{4}-4u^{2})^{2}$

because, as we have seen, $p = \frac{2eh^3}{k}$, $q = \frac{eh^2(k^2 + 2u)}{h^3}$ $4hk^{2}(2a-k^{2})$ $3k^4 - 4a^2$, and $ek^4 = k^2 - a^*$.

utility, and which consists in making $x = \frac{1}{1-y}$ form our formula into another of the third class, in which the first term and the last are squares. This trans-formation is made by an artifice, which is often of great us consider it as furnishing two different cases; because $a + ex^4$; and, since the case $a + eh^4 \stackrel{?}{=} h^2$ is known, let x = +h, and x = -h; for which reason we may trans-189. Let us continue the investigation of the formula $\frac{h(1+y)}{h(1+y)}$: by which

 $\frac{a(1-y)^4+eh^4(1+y)^4}{(1-a)^4}$, or rather $k^2+4(k^2-2a)y+6k^2y^2+4(k^2-2a)y^3+k^2y^4$ $(1-y)^{*}$ $(1-y)^{*}$

means the formula becomes

third case, to be $\frac{k+py-ky^2}{(1-y)^2}$; so that the numerator of our formula must be equal to the square Now, let us suppose the root of this formula, according to the

and, removing the second terms, by making $k^{2} + 2kpy + p^{2}y^{4} - 2k^{2}y^{2} - 2kpy^{3} + k^{2}y^{4};$

 $4k^2 - 8a = 2kp$; or $p = \frac{2k^2 - 4a}{k}$; and dividing the

 $\mathrm{also}, qy^{9} = \frac{eh^{2}(k^{9} + 2a)}{k^{3}} \times \frac{16h^{9}k^{4}(2a - k^{2})}{(3k^{4} - 4a^{2})^{2}} = \frac{16eh^{4}k(k^{9} + 2a) \times (2a - k^{9})^{2}}{(3k^{4} - 4a^{2})^{2}}$ $py = \frac{2eh^3}{k} \times \frac{4hk^2(2a-k^2)}{3k^4-4a^2} = \frac{8eh^4k(2a-k^2)}{3k^4-4a^2} = \frac{8k(k^2-a)\times(2a-k^2)}{3k^4-4a^2}$ $16k(k^{2}-a) \times (k^{2}+2a) \times (2a-k^{2})^{2}$ $(3k^4-4a^2)^2$ -, by substituting $eh^+ = k^2 - a$.

> other terms by y^{e} , we shall have $p=\frac{2k^2-4a}{k}$, and $pk=2k^2-4a$; so that $6k^2 + 4y(k^2 - 2a) = -2k^2 + p^2 - 2kpy$, or $y(4k^2 - 8a + 2kp) = p^2 - 8k^2$; or $y(8k^2 - 16a) = \frac{-4k^4 - 16ak^2 + 16a^2}{}$ $y = \frac{1}{k^2(2k^2 - 4a)}$ $-k^{4}$ $-4ak^{2}+4a^{2}$

If we now wish to find x, we have, first, $1+y=\frac{k^2(2k^2-4a)}{2k^2-4a}$ $k^4 - 8ak^2 + 4a^2$

and, in the second place,

 $\frac{1}{4}y = \frac{1}{k^2(2k^2-4a)}$; so that $x = \frac{h(k^4 - 8ak^3 + 4a^2)}{n}$ $\frac{1+y}{1-x^2} = \frac{k^4 - 8ak^2 + 4a^2}{8k^4 - 4a^3}$; and, consequently, $k^4 - 8ak^2 + 4a^2$ $3k^4 - 4a^3$ $3k^4-4\alpha^2$

regard to the even powers of x. but this is just the same value that we found before, with

140. In order to apply this result to an example, let it be required to make the formula $2x^4 - 1$ a square. Here, we have a = -1, and e = 2; and the known case when that h = 1, and k'' = 1; that is, k = 1; therefore, we shall the formula becomes a square, is that in which x = 1; so 1+8+4=-13; and since the

have the new value, x = -3-4x = +13, whence $2x^4 - 1 = 57121 = (239)^2$. fourth power of x is found alone, we may also write

h = 13 and k = 239; and shall obtain a new value of x_2 If we now consider this as the known case, we have

 $\frac{13 \times (239^4 + 8 \times 239^2 + 4)}{3 \times 239^4 - 4} = \frac{42422452969}{9788425919}.$

known case, in which it becomes a square, x = h; so that $a + ch^2 + eh^4 = k^2$. rather more general, $a + cx^2 + ex^4$, and shall take for the 141. We shall consider, in the same manner, a formula And, in order to find other values from this, let us

OF ALGEBRA

lowing form: suppose x = h + y, and our formula will assume the fol-

$$ch^{2}+2chy+cy^{2}$$

 $eh^{4}+4ch^{3}y+6eh^{2}y^{2}+4ehy^{3}+\epsilon y^{4}$

 $k^{2} + (2ch + 4eh^{3})y + (c + 6eh^{2})y^{2} + 4ehy^{3} + ey^{4}$

of this formula to be $k + py + qy^{\circ}$; and the formula itself will necessarily be equal to the square The first term being a square, we shall suppose the root

then determining p and q, in order to expunse the second and third terms, we shall have for this purpose $k^2 + 2kpy + p^2y^2 + 2kqy^2 + 2pqy^3 + q^2y^4;$

$$2ch + 4eh^3 = 2kp; \text{ or } p = \frac{ch + 2eh^3}{k}; \text{ and}$$

$$c + 6eh^2 = 2kq + p^2; \text{ or } q - \frac{c + 6eh^2 - p^2}{k}$$

 $c + 6ch^{\circ} = 2kq + p^{\circ}$; or q = -

£

divisible by y^3 , they are reduced to the last two terms of the general equation being

$$4eh + ey = 2pq + q^{\circ}y;$$

of x = h + y. If we now consider this new case as the given one, we shall find another new case, and may proceed, in the same manner, as far as we please. $\frac{4e\hbar-2pq}{q^2-e}$, and, consequently, the value also

and e=1. The known case is evidently x=1; and, therefore, h=1, and k=1. If we make x=1+y, and 142. Let us illustrate the preceding article, by applying it to the formula $1 - x^2 + x^4$, in which a = 1, c = -1, the square root of our formula $1+py+qy^2$, we must first

have $p = \frac{ch + 2eh^3}{k}$ $\frac{eh^2}{e} = 1$, and then $q = \frac{c + 6eh^2 - p^2}{9L} = \frac{4}{2} = 2$.

cases of $\underline{x} = 0$, and $\underline{x} = \pm 1$. is because we may prove, from other considerations, that the known case, and we have not arrived at a new one; but it proposed formula can never become a square, except in the These values give y=0, and x=1. Now, this is the

and the root = $1 + py + qy^c$, we shall have p = 1, and e=2. The known case is readily found; that is, x=1; so that h=1, and k=1: if, therefore, we make x=1+y; 143. Let there be given, also, for an example, the formula $2 - 3x^2 + 2x^4$; in which a = 2, c = -3, and

q=4; whence y=0, and x=1; which, as before, leads to

x=2+y, and representing the root by $7+py+qy^2$, we sufficient to point out the satisfactory case, namely, x = 2; a=1, c=8, and e=1. Here a slight consideration is for, by supposing h = 2, we find k = 7; so that making 144. Again, let the formula be $1 + 8x^2 + x^4$; in which

shall have $p_1 = \frac{3}{7}$, and $q = \frac{272}{343}$; whence $y = -\frac{5880}{2911}$, and $x = -\frac{58}{2911}$;

also in the new formula, we may here apply the method term is already a square, and must therefore remain a square may observe, farther, in this example, that, since the last and we may omit the sign minus in these values. But we Therefore, as before, let x = 2 + y, and we shall have which has been already taught for cases of the third class.

$$\frac{1}{32} + 32y + 8y^2 + 24y^3 + 2y^4 + 32y + 34y^3 + 32y^4 + 34y^5 + 32y^5 +$$

several ways. For, in the first place, we may suppose the root to be $7 + py + y^2$; and, consequently, the formula equal to the square an expression which we may now transform into a square in $49 + 64y + 32y^2 + 8y^3 + y^4$

 $49 + 14py + p^2y^2 + 14y^2 + 2py^3 + y^4;$

riving from the equation but then, after destroying $8y^3$, and $2py^3$, by supposing 2p = 8, or p = 4, dividing the other terms by y, and de-

 $64 + 32y = 14p + 14y + p^2y = 56 + 30y,$

only to the case that is already known. the value of y = -4, and of x = -2, or x = +2, we come

 $p=\frac{3}{7}$; and the other terms, when divided by y^2 , form the second terms may vanish, we shall have 14p = 64, and Farther, if we seek to determine such a value for p, that

consequently, $x = -\frac{15}{78}$, or $x = +\frac{15}{78}$; and this value transforms our formula into a square, whose root is $\frac{14+1}{78+}$. the equation $14 + p^2 + 2py = 32 + 8y$, or $\frac{77}{12}$ ° $+ \frac{64}{7}y = 32 + 8y$, whence we find $y = -\frac{71}{28}$; and Farther, as $-y^2$ is no less the root of the last term than +y°, we may suppose the root of the formula to be $+ py - y^2$, or the formula itself equal to

 $49 + 14py + p^2y^2 - 14y^2 - 2py^3 + y^4$. And here we shall destroy the last terms but one, by making -2p = 8, or $p\!=\!-4$; then, dividing the other terms by y, we shall have

CHAR. X.

 $64 + 32y = 14p - 14p + p^2y = -56 + 2y$, which gives y = -4; that is, the known case again. If we chose to destroy the second terms, we should have 64 = 14p, and $p = \frac{3}{7}z$; and, consequently, dividing the other terms by y^2 , we should obtain

$$32 + 8y = -14 + p^2 - 2py$$
, or $32 + 8y = \frac{136}{49} - \frac{64}{7}y$; whence $y = -\frac{14}{28}$; and $x = -\frac{15}{28}$;

that is to say, the same values that we found before.

145. We may proceed, in the same manner, with respect to the general formula

$$a + bx + cx^2 + dx^3 + ex^4,$$

when we know one case, as x = h, in which it becomes a square, k^{x} . The constant method is to suppose x = h + y: from this, we obtain a formula of as many terms as the other, the first of them being k^{x} . If, after that, we express the root by $k + py + qy^{x}$; and determine p and q so, that the second and third terms may disappear; the last two, of the first degree, from which we may easily obtain the value of y, and, consequently, that of x also.

Still, however, we shall be obliged, as before, to exclude a great number of cases in the application of this method; those, for instance, in which the value found for x is no other than x = h, which was given, and in which, consequently, we could not advance one step. Such cases shew either that the formula is impossible in itself, or that we have yet to find some other case in which it becomes a square.

146. And this is the utmost length to which mathematicians have yet advanced, in the resolution of formulae, that are affected by the sign of the square root. No discovery has hitherto been made for those, in which the quantities under the sign exceed the fourth degree; and when formulæ occur which contain the fifth, or a higher power of x, the artifices which we have explained are not sufficient to resolve them, even although a case be given.

That the truth of what is now said may be more evident, we shall consider the formula

 $k^{2} + bx + cx^{2} + dx^{3} + ex^{4} + fx^{5}$

the first term of which is already a square. If, as before, we suppose the root of this formula to be $k + px + qx^2$, and determine p and q, so as to make the second and third terms disappear, there will still remain three terms, which,

when divided by x^3 , form an equation of the second degree; and x evidently cannot be expressed, except by a new irrational quantity. But if we were to suppose the root to be inimal quantity. But if we were to suppose the root to be in the first that $x + yx^2 + rx^3$, its square would rise to the sixth power; and, consequently, though we should even determine p, q, and r, so as to remove the second, third, and fourth terms, there would still remain the fourth, the fifth, and the sixth powers; and, dividing by x^* , we should again have an equation of the second degree, which we could not resolve without a radical sign. This seems to indicate that we have really exhausted the subject of transforming formulæ into squares: we may now, therefore, proceed to quantities affected by the sign of the cube root.

CHAP. X

Of the Method of realiering rational the irrational Formula $\sqrt{(a + bx + cx^n + dx^3)}$.

147. It is here required to find such values of x, that the formula $a + bx + cx^2 + dx^3$ may become a cube, and that we may be able to extract its cube root. We see immediately that no such solution could be expected, if the formula exceeded the third degree; and we shall add, that if it were only of the second degree, that is to say, if the term dx^3 disappeared, the solution would not be easier. With regard to the case in which the last two terms disappear, and in which it would be required to reduce the formula u + bx to a cube, it is evidently attended with no difficulty; for we have only to make $a + bx = p^3$, to find

at once $x = \frac{p^3 - a}{b}$.

148. Before we proceed farther on this subject, we must again remark, that when neither the first nor the last term is a cube, we must not think of resolving the formula, unless we already know a case in which it becomes a cube, whether that case readily occurs, or whether we are obliged to find it out by trial.

So that we have three kinds of formulæ to consider. One is, when the first term is a cube; and as then the formula is expressed by $f^3 + bx + cx^2 + dx^3$, we imme-